1 2		FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 999 E Street, N.W.
3	•	Washington, D.C. 20463
4		FIDET CENEDAL COLINCELS DEDODT
5		FIRST GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT
6 7		MURs: 7078 & 7084
8		DATES COMPLAINTS FILED: 6/1/2016,
9		6/14/2016
10		DATES OF NOTIFICATIONS: 6/3/2016,
11		6/6/2016, 6/15/2016
12		DATE OF LAST RESPONSE: 8/22/2017
13		DATE ACTIVATED: 9/20/2016
14		
15		EXPIRATION OF SOL: 10/16/2017 -
16		5/8/2021
17		ELECTION CYCLEs: 2012, 2016
18	COMPLAINANT:	Lisa Clarkson
19 20	COMPLAINANT.	Lisa Ciai Ason
21	RESPONDENT(S):	Scott W. Taylor
22	TEST ON BENT (S).	Scott Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph
23		in his official capacity as treasurer
24		Scott Taylor for Delegate
25		Stephen Baggs and Systems Technology
26		Forum, Ltd.
27		Thomas Bates and RK Chevrolet, Buick,
28		Subaru, Inc.
29		Darek Dabbs and Sera-Brynn LLC
30		Eric Kimble and Kimble Companies/Penn-
31		Ohio Coal Co.
32		Ronald Kramer and Kramer Management
33		Enterprises, Inc.
34		Shawn Kuhle and Turner Strategic Technologies
35 36		Tactical Defense Solutions LLC
30 37		William W. Lee, Jr. and National Research
38		Group, LLC
39		Bob Miller and Miller-Stephenson &
40		Associates, P.C.
41		David H. Mutzabaugh and ThunderCat
42		Technology, LLC
43 44		Richard D. Roberts and Norfolk Southern Corporation
44 15		Eric Sisco and Virginia International

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 2 of 20

1	·	Gateway, Inc.
2		Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund,
3		Inc.
4		Special Operations OPSEC Political
5		Committee
6		
7	RELEVANT STATUTE(S)	52 U.S.C. § 30101(4)
8	AND REGULATIONS:	52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)
.9		52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A)
10		52 U.S.C. § 30101(17)
11		52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1)
12		52 U.S.C. § 30103
13		52 U.S.C. § 30104
14		52 U.S.C. § 30116
15		52 U.S.C. § 30118(a)
16		52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)
17		52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)
18		11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a)(1)
19		11 C.F.R. § 100.5(a)
20		11 C.F.R. § 100.52
21		11 C.F.R. § 100.111
22		11 C.F.R. § 100.113
23		11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a)
24		11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a)
25		11 C.F.R. § 104.20
26		11 C.F.R. § 104.3
27		11 C.F.R. § 109.10
28		11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)
29		11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d)
30		11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a)
31		11 C.F.R. § 114.2
32		11 C.F.R. § 115.2
33		11 C.F.R. § 115.5
34		11 C.F.R. § 115.6
35		11 C.F.R. § 300.61
36		-
37	INTERNAL REPORTS CHECKED:	Disclosure Reports
38		•
39	FEDERAL AGENCIES CHECKED:	Internal Revenue Service

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 3 of 20

1 I. INTRODUCTION

- 2 The Complaints allege that Scott Taylor ("Taylor"), Scott Taylor for Congress and John
- 3 G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), and two non-profit entities
- 4 associated with Taylor, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund and Special Operations
- 5 OPSEC Political Committee, violated many provisions of the Federal Election Campaign Act of
- 6 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.
- 7 For the reasons set forth below, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 8 allegations that the Committee used non-federal funds to host its campaign website, and that it
- 9 failed to include disclaimers, timely file its Statement of Organization, report certain
- 10 expenditures, and properly attribute an LLC contribution. We further recommend that the
- 11 Commission find no reason to believe that the Committee used state funds to send a campaign
- 12 email, or that it knowingly solicited contributions from federal contractors. We also recommend
- 13 the Commission find no reason to believe that Respondent contributors violated the ban on
- 14 federal contractor contributions. Finally, we recommend that the Commission dismiss the
- 15 allegations pertaining to both OPSEC entities.

II. FACTUAL SUMMARY

- 17 Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
- 18 Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor filed his 2016 Statement of Candidacy on
- 19 February 4, 2016, and designated the Committee as his principal campaign committee. John G.
- 20 Selph is the Committee's treasurer.

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

13 14

15

16

17

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 4 of 20

1 Taylor was also the chair	man of a $SUI(C)(4)$	organization, Sp	ecial Operations O	PSEC
-----------------------------	----------------------	------------------	--------------------	------

- 2 Education Fund ("Education Fund").² The Education Fund was formed by former U.S. military
- 3 special operations veterans to express concern about the Obama administration's alleged leaks
- 4 for political purposes of sensitive information regarding special operations.³ In 2012, the
- 5 Education Fund reported spending approximately \$500,000 for electioneering communications
- 6 criticizing President Obama. A related but now defunct entity, OPSEC Political
- 7 Committee, reported making \$63,350 in independent expenditures opposing President Obama's
- 8 2012 re-election. Neither OPSEC group reported electioneering communications or independent
- 9 expenditures in 2016.
- The Complaints allege the following violations of the Act and regulations regarding
- 11 Taylor's 2010 and 2016 congressional races:⁴
 - Taylor announced his 2016 federal candidacy using state legislative resources and failed to include a required disclaimer.⁵
 - The Committee filed a Statement of Organization on February 4, 2016 later amended on February 23, 2016 but began soliciting funds as early as January 16, 2016, and triggered candidate status no later than January 20, 2016.⁶

Taylor is listed as the person exercising control over the Education Fund in the group's electioneering communication filings in 2012 and 2013. The group has interchangeably referred to Taylor as both president and chairman in public communications, most recently in a March 4, 2016, Facebook post listing Taylor as OPSEC's chairman. At some point after March 4, 2016, but before July 6, 2016, Jamie Williamson appears to have succeeded Taylor as president, though it is unclear if Taylor continues to serve in a leadership position or otherwise exercises control over the Education Fund. See Kristina Wong, "Ex-special ops group blasts Clinton email decision," The Hill, http://thehill.com/policy/defense/286711-group-representing-former-special-operators-blasts-clinton-email-decision (July 6, 2016). The group's website does not list its leadership or organizational structure.

³ See http://www.opsecteam.org/background.html.

The Complaint also alleges that Taylor's 2010 federal committee failed to file a number of disclosure reports after Taylor withdrew from the 2010 Congressional race. Compl. at I (June 1, 2016). The Complaint cites to nineteen notices of failure to file issued to the previous committee. We note that the Commission administratively terminated the 2010 committee in June 2015, and it is not a respondent in this matter.

⁵ Compl. at ¶¶ 1-2.

⁶ Id. at ¶¶ 3-5.

6

7 8 9

10 11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19 20 21

22

1	•	The Committee failed to report expenditures for several public events promoted or
2		Taylor's Facebook page. ⁷
כ		

- The Committee received unreported in-kind contributions from Taylor's state legislative campaign. From January through February 2016, the Committee's website used the same URL as Taylor's state legislative campaign, and the Committee reported no reimbursements to the state committee.
- The Committee reported a \$1,000 contribution from an LLC with the note "attributed equally to owners," but did not disclose the owners of the LLC.9
- The Committee solicited and accepted contributions from federal contractors.¹⁰
- The Education Fund failed to properly file electioneering reports for the period between October 16, 2012, and November 4, 2012, and the OPSEC Political Committee failed to file complete and timely disclosure reports.¹¹
- The OPSEC entities failed to register as political committees and file required disclosure reports.¹²

III. ANALYSIS

- A. Use of State Email and State Committee Website; Lack of Disclaimer
- The Committee denies using state letterhead or an official email account. 13
- 24 A federal candidate, or an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or
- 25 controlled by or acting on behalf of a federal candidate, is prohibited from soliciting, receiving,
- 26 directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with an election for federal office that are

⁷ *Id*. at ¶¶ 6-7.

⁸ *Id.* at ¶ 8.

⁹ Id. at ¶ 9.

Supplemental Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

¹¹ Id. at III (citing Commission requests to amend reports).

¹² *Id*.

¹³ Resp. at ¶ 1 (June 23, 2016).

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 6 of 20

- 1 not subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. ¹⁴ Because Virginia
- 2 law permits a state committee to accept unlimited direct contributions from any individual,
- 3 corporation, union, association, or partnership, Taylor's Virginia state committee account likely
- 4 contains funds not subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. ¹⁵ Further, Commission
- 5 regulations prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a candidate's nonfederal campaign
- 6 committee to his or her federal committee. 16 Political committees must include disclaimers on
- 7 emails containing substantially similar messages sent to more than 500 recipients. 17

8 Although the Committee's announcement email contains a header identifying Taylor as a

- 9 State Delegate, it does not appear to be on official state letterhead, and the announcement was
- sent from a private email account. We therefore recommend that the Commission find no reason
- to believe the Committee used nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email.

Respondents admit, however, that Taylor's state committee incurred expenses for hosting

- the federal Committee's website. 18 Those expenditures constitute impermissible in-kind
- 14 contributions. 19 The value of those contributions appears de minimis, and the Committee is no
- longer using the state committee's website.²⁰ Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission

⁵² U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

See Virginia Department of Elections, Summary of Laws and Policies: Candidate Campaign Committees (Sept. 14, 2015) at 17; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a), 30118(a).

¹⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d).

¹⁷ Id. § 110.11(a).

¹⁸ Resp. at ¶ 8.

The Committee did not disclose any in-kind contributions for website hosting in subsequent reports. The Commission has previously found that use of a candidate's state funds for federal campaign activity is impermissible. See MUR 6267 (Paton, et al.); MUR 5426 (Shultz, et al.).

A review of the website as of October 2016 reveals that the domain hosted by the state committee, http://scotttaylorforva.com/, is no longer available, and that the Committee website is now http://scotttaylor.us/. The new site includes a disclaimer stating that the website is paid for by the federal Committee.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1 dismiss this allegation as to Scott Taylor for Delegate and the Committee, and send a cautionary

2 letter to the Committee.²¹

Respondents also admit that the announcement email lacked a disclaimer, but claim that

4 the omission was inadvertent and quickly corrected.²² It is likely that Taylor's campaign

5 announcement was sent to more than 500 recipients. However, because the Response represents

that the mistake was inadvertent and promptly corrected, we recommend that the Commission

exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this allegation.²³

B. Failure to Timely File and Amend Statement of Organization

Respondents argue the Committee timely mailed the Statement of Organization, and promptly amended it to include Taylor's name.²⁴ Under 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2), an individual becomes a candidate when the individual seeks nomination for, or election to, federal office and:

(a) such individual receives contributions or makes expenditures in excess of \$5,000, or (b) such individual gives his or her consent to another person to receive contributions or make expenditures on behalf of such individual, and if such person has received contributions or has made expenditures in excess of \$5,000.²⁵ Within 15 days after becoming a candidate, he or she must file a Statement of Candidacy designating a principal campaign committee.²⁶ Within 10

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); MUR 6773 (Nestande, et al.) (dismissing use of nonfederal funds allegation because expenses were de minimis). A cautionary letter is appropriate because the Committee did not amend its reports, as it represented it would.

²² Resp. at ¶ 2.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; see also MUR 6841 (Reid, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to include the proper disclaimer with an email solicitation).

²⁴ Resp. at ¶ 5.

²⁵ 11 C.F.R. § 100.3(a)(1)-(2).

²⁶ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a).

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 8 of 20

- days after filing a Statement of Candidacy, the principal committee must file a Statement of
- 2 Organization.²⁷
- 3 Although the Committee timely filed its Statement of Organization, it did not include
- 4 Taylor's name on the form. However, the Committee amended the statement within two days of
- 5 receiving a Request for Additional Information ("RFAI") from the Commission's Reports
- 6 Analysis Division ("RAD"). Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this
- 7 allegation.²⁸

8

- C. Failure to Report Expenditures in Connection With Campaign Events
- 9 The Act and regulations require a committee to report its aggregate operating
- 10 expenditures.²⁹ A committee must also report the full name and address of each vendor who
- 11 receives payment in excess of \$200 within an election cycle.³⁰ The Committee published several
- 12 invitations on Facebook to events at restaurants that promised food and drink, but its reports
- 13 disclose no corresponding expenditures. The Response states that these events were "informal
- 14 gatherings" that did not generate any expenses.³¹ While it is unlikely that these events did not
- 15 generate any expenditures, the amounts were likely small. Thus, we recommend that the
- 16 Commission dismiss this allegation with a letter of caution.³²

²⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a); 11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a).

²⁸ See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831.

²⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(2)(i).

³⁰ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(4)(i).

³¹ Resp. at ¶ 7.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6536 (Gonzalez for Congress, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to report disbursements in part because of the small amount at issue).

1.

D. Incomplete Disclosure of an LLC Contribution

2 Contributions by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue

7

- 3 Service are treated as partnership contributions.³³ Partnership contributions, in turn, must be
- 4 attributed to both the partnership and to each partner, either in direct proportion to the partner's
- 5 share of the entity's profits or by agreement among the partners.³⁴ Additionally, an LLC that
- 6 makes a contribution must affirm to the recipient committee that it is eligible to make such a
- 7 contribution, and must indicate how the contribution is to be attributed.³⁵ The Commission's
- 8 LLC attribution regulations were implemented partly to prevent the use of LLCs to evade the
- 9 Act's source and amount limitations.³⁶ One of those source limitations is the prohibition on
- 10 accepting direct contributions from corporations.³⁷

11 Respondents failed to report the attribution of a \$1,000 donation from Beachfront LLC

- 12 received on March 3, 2016. The Committee states that after receiving the Complaint, it
- 13 contacted the LLC but could not verify whether the entity was eligible to make the contribution,
- so the Committee refunded it on June 22, 2016.³⁸ Thus, the Committee may have failed to
- 15 properly itemize the partners' contributions, or may have impermissibly accepted a corporate

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2). The Commission's regulations do not require that a contribution from an LLC that is taxed as a corporation be attributed to the LLC's member or members, and such contributions are treated as corporate contributions under the Act. See id. § 110.1(g)(3).

Id. § 110.1(e). If an individual partner's share of the contribution exceeds \$200 when combined with other contributions received from that partner in the same election cycle, the committee must disclose itemized information on the partner as a memo entry. Id.; 11 C.F.R § 104.8.

³⁵ Id. § 110.1(g).

See Treatment of Limited Liability Companies Under the Federal Election Campaign Act, 64 Fed. Reg. 37397, 37398 (July 12, 1999).

³⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a); 11 C.F.R. § 114.2.

³⁸ Resp. at ¶ 9.

- 1 contribution.³⁹ However, because the amount in violation is relatively small \$1,000 out of the
- 2 Committee's total receipts of approximately \$830,000 for the 2016 election cycle and the
- 3 Committee refunded the contribution, we recommend that the Commission dismiss this
- 4 allegation.40

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

. 13

14

E. Contributions From Federal Contractors

Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors.⁴¹ This prohibition does not apply to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors.⁴² Employees of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds.⁴³

Respondents state that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute.⁴⁴ Nearly all of the named contributors submitted responses affirming that they are not contractors, and that the funds used were personal and not directed by a contractor firm.⁴⁵ One contributor did not respond, but we have no information indicating that he is a federal contractor.⁴⁶ We therefore recommend that

See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) (requiring attribution of partners); 11 C.F.R § 104.8 (requiring uniform reporting of receipts); 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) (prohibiting contributions from corporations).

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6808 (Smith, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee received an impermissible \$1,000 corporate donation and noting the immediate return of the donation).

⁴¹ 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

⁴² 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

Id. Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. Id. § 115.5.

Supplemental Resp. (July 15, 2015).

See Responses from Baggs, Dabbs, Sisco, Mutzabaugh, Kuhle, Roberts, Miller, Kimble, Bates, Lee, and their corresponding entities.

Our review of donor records and the federal contractor database suggests that that contributor, Ronald Kramer, is likely not a federal contractor.

- 1 the Commission find no reason to believe that the named individuals are federal contractors, or
- 2 that the Committee accepted contributions from federal contractors.

F. Untimely 2012 Disclosure Reports by the OPSEC Entities

- 4 Every person that makes disbursements for electioneering communications aggregating in
- 5 excess of \$10,000 during a calendar year must file a disclosure statement with the Commission.⁴⁷
- 6 These statements must disclose the identities of any person who shared or exercised control in
- 7 making the disbursement.⁴⁸ Additionally, every entity that is not a political committee and that
- 8 makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 with respect to a given election in
- 9 a calendar year must file reports of independent expenditures with the Commission.⁴⁹ These
- 10 reports must identify donors who have contributed in excess of \$200 for the purpose of furthering
- 11 the reported expenditure(s). 50 Political committees must file regular reports of their receipts and
- 12 disbursements.⁵¹
- Both OPSEC entities respond that they timely amended their 2012 filings.⁵² During the
- 14 2012 cycle, the Education Fund filed 24-hour electioneering communications reports, but six
- 15 reports failed to disclose the person exercising control over the communications. RAD issued six
- 16 RFAIs requesting that information, and the Education Fund timely amended the statements to
- 17 show that Taylor exercised control over the communications. Likewise, OPSEC Political
- 18 Committee received several letters from RAD citing reporting issues, including two notices of

⁴⁷ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(b).

⁴⁸ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f)(2)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(c)(2).

⁴⁹ 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b):

⁵⁰ Id.; 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(e)(1)(vi).

^{51 52} U.S.C. § 30104(a)(b).

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 1-2 (Aug. 1, 2016); OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1 (Aug. 1, 2016).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 12 of 20

- 1 failure to file required quarterly reports, as well as three RFAIs concerning the use of an incorrect
- 2 form and failure to disclosure donor information. OPSEC Political Committee adequately
- 3 responded to the issues and filed the missing quarterly reports. Both OPSEC entities corrected
- 4 the errors shortly after receipt of RFAIs, and these allegations do not merit further enforcement
- 5 action. 53 Thus, we recommend that the Commission dismiss these allegations. 54

G. OPSEC Entities: Political Committee Status and Reporting Obligations

The Complaint broadly asserts that the OPSEC entities "failed to comply with the reporting requirements for receipts and disbursements of political committees." 55

In response, the Education Fund states that it was not a political committee during the relevant period and did not engage in activity that had to be reported to the Commission. ⁵⁶

Instead, it states that it is a 501(c)(4) organization, and its "primary purpose" is not political activity. The Education Fund admits that it solicited donations for Taylor on its Facebook page, but states that it "removed those posts from its page and at no time did it engage in any other solicitations on behalf of Scott Taylor for Congress." OPSEC Political Committee states that it did not qualify as a political committee. ⁵⁸ It further states that it terminated its existence with the IRS on February 28, 2013.

⁵³ See Reports Analysis Division Review and Referral Procedures for the 2011-2012 Election Cycle, 141, 121.

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); see generally MUR 5746 (Robinson for Congress, et al.) (dismissing reporting violation after the committee properly responded to RFAIs).

⁵⁵ Supplemental Compl. at III.

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 2.

Id. The Complaint further states that these Facebook posts constitute fraudulent solicitations. Suppl. Comp. at IV. This allegation is thinly plead and is not supported by the record.

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

1. The Test for Political Committee Status

The Act and Commission regulations define a "political committee" as "any committee, 2 club, association or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of 3 \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 4 during a calendar year."⁵⁹ In Buckley v. Valeo, the Supreme Court held that defining political 5 committee status "only in terms of the annual amount of 'contributions' and 'expenditures" 6 might be overbroad, reaching "groups engaged purely in issue discussion." To cure that 7 infirmity, the Court concluded that the term "political committee" "need only encompass 8 organizations that are under the control of a candidate or the major purpose of which is the 9 nomination or election of a candidate."61 Accordingly, under the statute as thus construed, an 10 organization that is not controlled by a candidate must register as a political committee only if 11 (1) it crosses the \$1,000 threshold; and (2) it has as its "major purpose" the nomination or 12 election of federal candidates.⁶² 13

^{59 52} U.S.C. § 30101(4)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5. The Act defines "contribution" to include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52. Likewise, "expenditure" includes "any payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value, made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.111.

⁶⁰ Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976).

⁶¹ Id. (emphasis added).

In examining the "major purpose" of an organization, the Commission takes a case-by-case approach that requires a fact-intensive analysis of the group's activities. See Supplemental E & J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 5601 (Feb. 7, 2007). The Commission has indicated that it will analyze two primary factors when examining a group's major purpose: (1) a group's spending, particularly whether its spending has become "extensive," and (2) a group's stated purpose, as indicated through its public statements and internal documents and communications. See FEC v. MCFL, 479 U.S. 238, 262-264 (1986); FEC v. GOP AC, Inc., 917 F. Supp. 851, 859 (D.D.C. 1996).

2. The Education Fund

The OPSEC Education Fund is a 501(c)(4) organization that began filing electioneering communication reports with the Commission during the 2012 election cycle. 63 Taylor was its chairman from 2012 to at least March 2016. The group's current website describes itself as "a non-partisan grassroots advocacy organization focused on protecting US Special Operations

Forces and national intelligence assets and operatives from political exploitation and policies, and the misuse of classified information, that unnecessarily exposes them and their families to greater risk and reduces their effectiveness in keeping Americans safe." 64

The available record does not provide a basis to draw a reasonable inference that the Education Fund received contributions or made expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year. We have no information indicating that the group received funds for the purpose of influencing an election when it began making electioneering communications in 2012. Similarly, we have not found probative information indicating that the group received more than \$1,000 in contributions in 2016. 65

We also do not have information showing that the Education Fund made more than

\$1,000 in expenditures during any calendar year. In 2012, the group filed reports of several

The Education Fund has not reported electioneering communications since that time.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/mission.html (last visited March 14, 2016).

The group's website did solicit funds to "definitely stop Hillary Clinton" at some point in 2016, when she was no longer Secretary of State but was a federal candidate. This solicitation suggests that the group may have received some contributions in 2016, but we have not been able to confirm that it did, or that such contributions exceeded \$1,000.

That solicitation has since been removed from the group's website. Given that Taylor was succeeded as Chairman at some point between March and July 2016, it is unclear whether Taylor exercised control over the Education Fund during the time that it solicited funds to "definitively stop Hillary Clinton." See supra, note 2.

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 15 of 20

- 1 electioneering communications representing costs of approximately \$500,000.66 Those
- 2 communications, however, do not appear to contain express advocacy, and therefore do not
- 3 constitute "expenditures" under the Act or regulations. 67
- 4 Nor does the group's more recent activity indicate that it made more than \$1,000 in
- 5 expenditures in 2016, or any other year. While the filings in this matter contain little information
- about the Education Fund's later disbursements, our review found a few activities that at first
- 7 seem as if they might be expenditures. On closer examination, however, these activities do not
- 8 indicate that the Education Fund spent more than \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal
- 9 election.

10

11

12

13 14 • First, the group produced a 29-second ad titled "We Get Angry" in September 2015 that Taylor narrates, which criticizes Clinton by stating "Our friends pay the price when politicians like Hillary Clinton compromise secret information over email." The ad does not contain express advocacy. 68

See Electioneering Communication reports for Committee ID C30002042; Attach. 1 at 1, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund, Inc. IRS Form 990 (2012).

Our review of the Fund's electioneering communications found that they do not constitute express advocacy under 11 C.F.R. § 100.22. See OPSEC Education Fund, "Bump in the Road," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkIO7mNwi4o (discussing President Obama's handling of Benghazi and stating "there is nothing acceptable about playing politics with national security" while showing photos of Obama, but not expressly urging the defeat of Obama or otherwise referencing the election). See also Final Rule on Electioneering Communications Explanation & Justification, 72 Fed. Reg. 72,899, 72,908 (Dec. 26, 2007) (noting that criticizing a candidate's past record does not constitute taking a position on that candidate's character, qualifications, or fitness when in the context of a broader issue-based discussion).

[&]quot;New OPSEC Ad: We Get Angry," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4pKbnoJSNKM (published Sept. 10, 2015, last visited January 25, 2017). We do not have information regarding whether the Fund ran the ad beyond the group's YouTube and Facebook pages.

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

- Second, the group produced a five-minute video criticizing Hillary Clinton called "The Truth About Benghazi," which was shown at the Republican National Convention. That video also does not contain express advocacy.⁶⁹
- Finally, in late 2016, the group began to create and broadcast a web-hosted radio program that included one episode in which the host expressly advocated Hillary Clinton's defeat in the upcoming election. We do not know the costs associated with the show, but we believe they were likely under \$1,000 because the show was a webcast that did not appear to be professionally designed, and we have not identified any staff for the show other than its hosts.
- Thus, it is unclear whether the Education Fund met either the contribution or expenditure
- 13 threshold under the Act. In the past, the Commission has opened investigations to determine if a
- 14 group had passed the statutory threshold, but those cases involved much more significant

The video did not mention Clinton's candidacy, or contain express advocacy. See Electioneering Communication E&J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 72,908.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/conv/ (last visited March 14, 2017). The video criticized Hillary Clinton's performance as the former Secretary of State by:

Featuring a clip of Clinton repeatedly stating "we didn't lose a single person" in Libya;

[•] Noting that on the day before the attack in Benghazi, President Obama met with Clinton regarding changes that might be needed for the anniversary of 9/11 and "not a single change was made that might have helped those in the high-risk area of Benghazi";

Stating that "Hillary Clinton's State Department" requested that marines not carry weapons "in a warzone where Americans were under fire, because they didn't want it to look like an invasion, because they didn't want to offend anybody. Really?";

Stating that Clinton privately called the attack a terrorist attack while publicly presenting it at as a spontaneous protest.

See http://americaoutloud.com/show/opsecradio/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017). The group first posted a link to the radio program on its Facebook account on Dec. 4, 2016. Jamie Williamson, OPSEC's co-founder, is identified as the radio show's primary host. The individual programs are not dated, but some of the episodes appear to have been posted in the days or weeks before the November 2016 election. See, e.g., "Wake Up America!," http://americaoutloud.com/wake-up-america/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017) (Williamson stating that "Hillary Clinton is the most morally bankrupt, corrupt candidate to run for office in my lifetime of 56 years" and acknowledging the coming election, stating that as a 501(c)(4), "We cannot advocate the election of or the defeat of a particular candidate, which I won't do, but I damn well am gonna educate my listening audience," and "Hillary Clinton is an un-indicted co-conspirator and a criminal of the highest order who's put the national security of the United States at risk, and is directly or indirectly responsible for the deaths of a U.S. ambassador and three other Americans. So think before you go to the polls. Is this the commander in chief that you want for the next four years?"). See 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(b).

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 17 of 20

- 1 electoral activity than in the current matter. 71 Accordingly, we recommend that the Commission
- 2 dismiss the allegation that the Education Fund failed to register and report as a political
- 3 committee.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

3. OPSEC Political Committee

The OPSEC Political Committee was a Section 527 organization established in 2012.⁷² It filed regular disclosure reports with the IRS until its termination in 2013. Though it shared the same address and appeared to be closely related to the Education Fund, it was a separate legal entity. In 2012, it spent \$63,350 on independent expenditures opposing Barack Obama's reelection.⁷³ Publicly available tax forms show that the group reported a total of \$136,821 in expenditures in 2012. Taylor's precise role with the Political Committee is unclear, and he was not a candidate for federal office during the 2012 election cycle.

OPSEC Political Committee's independent expenditures satisfy the threshold spending requirement to qualify as a political committee. ⁷⁴ Additionally, the organization named itself "Special Operations OPSEC *Political Committee*" (emphasis added), which suggests that it

See MUR 5511/5525 (Swift Boat Vets, et al.) (Commission found it appropriate to investigate whether a group raised or spent \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal election where the group's statement and activities were exclusively geared toward criticizing a presidential candidate and publicly available information showed the group raised \$20 million overall and spent \$18 million); MUR 5541 (The November Fund) (same, where group was heavily critical of a presidential candidate and OGC knew of a \$200,000 vendor payment for internet advertising); MUR 5487 (Progress for America Voter Fund) (same, where available information indicated that the group's purpose was to influence the 2004 election, and the group apparently raised and spent millions of dollars in furtherance of that objective, with solicitations expressly mentioning swing states).

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

See OPSEC Political Committee 2012 Year-End Report; 2012 October Report.

⁷⁴ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(17); 11 CFR § 100.113.

MURs 7078 & 7084 (Taylor for Congress, et al.) First General Counsel's Report Page 18 of 20

- 1 publicly represented itself as a political committee.⁷⁵ The organization's name, coupled with its
- 2 record of funding independent expenditures, provides a reasonable basis on which to infer that
- 3 the group may have adopted a major purpose of influencing federal elections. However, we
- 4 recommend dismissal as a matter of prosecutorial discretion. The Political Committee is defunct,
- 5 and further action would likely not be an efficient use of the Commission's resources. The group
- 6 has not funded independent expenditures since 2012, it terminated with the IRS in 2013, and it
- 7 filed its last report with the Commission a miscellaneous report responding to earlier RFAIs
- 8 on July 1, 2013. Thus, its last activities appear to have predated the complaints by three years.
- 9 The Commission has previously decided to take no further action on political committee
- 10 allegations where the entity was essentially defunct, with minimal or no assets, and had been
- inactive for several years with little prospect of resuming activity. Accordingly, we
- 12 recommend that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this
- 13 allegation.⁷⁷

The organization's stated purpose on its IRS Form 8871 is nonpartisan, and the Response makes a broad assertion that "at no time did the Committee qualify as a political committee under the Act." See Attach. 2 at 2, Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee IRS Form 8871 (2012) (describing the entity as a "[p]olitical committee to educate the public on national security issues."). However, "[a] declaration by the organization that they are not [organized] for an electioneering purpose is not dispositive" in analyzing that organization's major purpose. See Real Truth About Obama v. FEC, 2008 WL 4416282, at *14 (E.D. Va. Sept. 24, 2008).

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 2, MUR 6021 (The Ballot Project); see also MUR 5534 (Business Alaska). Additionally, though not dispositive, OPSEC Political Committee filed independent expenditure reports with the Commission and itemized approximately \$43,000 in receipts. See Miscellaneous Report (Dec. 19, 2012). It also filed regular IRS reports and disclosed \$64,990 in itemized donations on IRS forms filed over the course of 2012. Thus, while the organization may have been required to make all filings required of a political committee, it did make some public disclosures, which partly mitigates disclosure concerns.

⁷⁷ See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985).

IV. RECOMMENDATIONS

Find no reason to believe that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G.
Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) by using nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email;

- 2. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer, and Scott Taylor for Delegate violated 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) by using nonfederal funds to host the Committee's website, and send a letter of caution to Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer;
- 3. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity violated 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(a);
- 4. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1);
- 5. Dismiss with a letter of caution the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5) or 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b);
- 6. Dismiss the allegation that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer violated 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) or 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e);
- 7. Find no reason to believe that Scott W. Taylor, Taylor for Congress and John G. Selph in his official capacity violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(2);
- 8. Find no reason to believe that Stephen Baggs; Systems Technology Forum, Ltd.; Thomas Bates; RK Chevrolet, Buick, Subaru, Inc.; Darek Dabbs; Sera-Brynn LLC; Eric Kimble; Kimble Companies/Penn-Ohio Coal Co.; Ronald Kramer; Kramer Management Enterprises, Inc.; Shawn Kuhle; Turner Strategic Technologies; Tactical Defense Solutions LLC; William W. Lee, Jr.; National Research Group, LLC; Bob Miller; Miller-Stephenson & Associates, P.C.; David H. Mutzabaugh; ThunderCat Technology, LLC; Richard D. Roberts; Norfolk Southern Corporation; Eric Sisco or Virginia International Gateway, Inc. violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1);
- 9. Dismiss the allegation that Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund violated 52 U.S.C. § 30104(f);
- 10. Dismiss the allegation that Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee violated 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(b);

1										
2	·									
3			violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103	, 52 U.S.C. § 30102, or 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a);						
4				•						
5		12.	_	Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee						
6			violated 52 U.S.C. § 30103	, 52 U.S.C. § 30102, or 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a);						
7										
8		13.	Approve the attached Factu	al and Legal Analyses;						
9		1.4	A	A						
0		14.	Approve the appropriate let	ters;						
1 2		15.	Close the file.							
13		15.	Close the me.							
14				Lisa J. Stevenson						
15				Acting General Counsel						
16				Acting General Counsel						
17				·						
18				Kathleen M. Guith						
19				Associate General Counsel						
20				for Enforcement						
21			•	101 Billiotodillone						
22				l = 0						
23	Date	: 11.3	3.17	Stephen Juna						
24				Stephen Gura						
25				Deputy Associate General Counsel						
26				for Enforcement						
27										
28			•	Mark Shonkwiler						
29										
30				Mark Shonkwiler						
31				Assistant General Counsel						
32										
33				Cout Zuto						
34										
35				Antoinette Fuoto						
36				Attorney						
37	A	1 .								
38	_	chments:		- F1 I IDC F 000						
39	• •									
40 44	2.	-	Operations OPSEC Political							
41 42	5.	ractual	and Legal Analysis – Scott T	aylor for Delegate						
42 42	5	Enchar!	and Lagal Analysis ODGE	7 Entition						
43 1 <i>4</i>	5. 6		and Legal Analysis – OPSEC							

Form. **990**

Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

OMB No 1545-0047 2012

Department of the Treasury

Open to Public

		ue Service	The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy st		ting require	ments	Inspection
<u>A 1</u>	or the		ndar year, or tax year beginning , 2012, and				, 20
B (Check of	applicable	C Name of organization SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCATION	FUND,	1110		identification number
] /	Address	change	Doing Business As			<u> 15-555</u>	
_	vame ch	ange		om/suite		Telephone	
<u> </u>	nıtıal reti	um	PO Box 1096			0/1-48	32-7690
] 1	Terminat	ed	City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code				
] /	Amende		ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313				expts \$ 1,817,287
] /	Applicate	on pending	F Name and address of principal officer SCOTT TAYLOR		H(a) is this a g	proup return for	effibates? Yes 🕮 No
		-	1206 LASKIN RD STE 201 VIRGINIA BEACH, VA 2	3451	H(b) Are all a	affiliates incl	uded? Yes No
1	Tax-exer	mpt status	☐ 501(c)(3) 🔀 501(c) (4) ◄ (insert no) ☐ 4947(a)(1) or ☐	527	If "No.	" attach a l	st (see instructions)
J	Nebsite	: ▶			H(c) Group	exemption n	umber >
Κı	orm of c	organization	X Corporation Trust Association Other ► L Year of	formation	2012	M State of	legal domicile DE
Pa	rt I	Summ	ary			_	
	1	Briefly de	escribe the organization's mission or most significant activities: o	RGANIZE	D WITH TH	E PURPO	SE OF PROMOTING
			HAL WELFARE WITHIN THE MEANING OF SECTION 501(C)				
<u> </u>		WILL FU	RTHER SUCH PURPOSES BY EDUCATING U.S. CITIZENS THROUGH F	RESEARC	H, COMMUN	ICATIONS	AND OUTREACH
Ĕ			NG THE ISSUE OF THE NEED TO PROTECT THE SECRECY OF THE				
8	2	Check th	is box ▶ ☐ if the organization discontinued its operations or dispo	osed of r	nore than	25% of its	s net assets.
9	3	Number	of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a)			3	3
8	4		of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, lin	ne 1b)		4	3
ĕ	5	Total nur	mber of individuals employed in calendar year 2012 (Part V, line 2a	a)		5	0
Activities & Governance	6	Total nur	mber of volunteers (estimate if necessary)	·		6	
۷	7a		related business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12			7a	
1	ь		lated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34			7b	
5 .	•				Prior Yea	ır	Current Year
ا د	8	Contribu	tions and grants (Part VIIII, time 1h)				1,817,287
	9	Program	service revenue (Part VIII, line 29ECEIVED				0
Revenue	10	Investme	ent income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d)				0
7	11		venue (Part VIII, column (ည lineရုန်) ဖြင့် 9ေရှ ၅ရေ (and 11e)	` <u> </u>			0
	12	Total rev	enue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line	12)		0	1,817,287
7	13		nd similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3)-				0
בר ה	14		paid to or for members (Part 12) @@pp (A) [ine 4)				0
Expensés	15		other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-1	10)			
, <u>j</u>	16a		onal fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e)	`` '		-	82,976
<u>رة</u>	ь		draising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) > 181, 30	01 F		(A	(*) (*) (*)
X	17		penses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e)		<u> </u>	``	1,623,604
	18		penses. Add lines 13–17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	\vdash		0	1,706,580
	19		less expenses Subtract line 18 from line 12	·		0	110,707
_ 99		, revenide	TIOS ONDERIOS GUDITACE TO HOTH HITE 12	Bec	inning of Cur		End of Year
Net Assets or Fund Balances	20	Total acc	sets (Part X, line 16)	<u></u>	,	0	110,707
2 iii	21		ilities (Part X, line 26)	. ⊢		öl	110,707
<u> </u>	21		ts or fund balances Subtract line 21 from line 20	·		öl	$\frac{110,707}{}$
			ture Block			<u> </u>	110,101
		<i>-</i>		ed states -		n host =6 :	knowledge and belof A is
true	er pena	uues or perju t. and comp	ary, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules an l <u>ete</u> Declar <mark>etion of preparer (other than officer) is based on all<u>information of which r</u></mark>	ro s≀aterne <u>prepa</u> rer ha	nus, and to the	o best of my dge	y kilowieuge and deller, it is
		1 A					
Sig	n ·	Q _{int}	lature of officer				
Hei		, ,	MIE WILLIAMSON, TREASURER A				
ıtl	•		e or print name and title				
		1,					
Pai	id	1 -					
Pr€	pare	NANC	CY ORLEANS SINGER CHARLES				
	e Onl	ly Firm's i					
		Firm's a	Iddress ► 4416 EAST WEST HWY #410 BE				
May	the IF	RS discus	s this return with the preparer shown above? (se				
For	•		ction Act Notice, see the separate instructions.				
		Attachr	nent 1				

Attachment 1

Form 990 (2012)

Part	Checklist of Required Schedules			
	1. 11		Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes," complete Schedule A	1		X
2 3	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)? Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3	X	
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h) election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues, assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part III	5		×
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		x
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space, the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		х
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part III	8		х
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability, serve as a custodian for amounts not listed in Part X, or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		x
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10		X
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI, VIII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable			
a	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VI	11a		Х
	Did the organization report an amount for investments—other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11b		Х
	Did the organization report an amount for investments—program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	11c		Х
_ .	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d	-	-x-
f	the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11e 11f		X
12 a	Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a		X
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b		Х
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13		X
	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		x
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any organization or entity located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	14b		x
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance to individuals located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	15		X
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I (see instructions)	17	х	
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		х
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		x
		20a		Х
b	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b		
			n 990	(2012)

Part	Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)			
			Yes	No
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to any government or organization in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II	21		X
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated			
	employees? if "Yes," complete Schedule J	23		X
24a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than \$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b through 24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25	24a		x
b	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		X
C	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		X
d	Did the organization act as an "on behalf of" issuer for bonds outstanding at any time during the year?	24d		X
25a	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25a		х
Ь	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b		х
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highest compensated employee, or disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II	26		Х
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee, substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		x
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L, Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):	N.		
а	A current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28a	22.43	X
b	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28b		Х
C	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof) was an officer, director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		х
29 30	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified	29	1	-X-
31	conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N,	30		X
	Part I	31		X
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes," complete Schedule N, Part II	32		х
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations sections 301 7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33		х
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III, or IV, and Part V, line 1	34		х
	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a	-	X
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2.	35b		
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36		x
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R,			<u></u>
	Part VI	37		Х
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and 19? Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	38	х	
			n 990	(2012)

Attachment 1

Part	V Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance			<u> </u>						
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V	• •	Yes	No						
1a	Enter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096 Enter -0- if not applicable . 1a 10		200	1.1						
b	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable 1b 0	1	333	3						
C	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and									
	reportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c								
2a	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax									
	Statements, filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return 2a 0		, 737	انت						
b	If at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns? .	2b	$\overline{}$							
_	Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions).	1								
3a	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	3a		Х						
b	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	3b								
4a	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial account)?	4a		x						
b	If "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country: ▶	6.5	າຊີປະ	3						
	See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22 1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts.	423		2						
5a	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a		X						
b	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		X						
C	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5c								
6a	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the]		ĺ						
b	organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions? If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or	6a	X							
_	gifts were not tax deductible?	6b	X	-3b 619						
7	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).									
а	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods and services provided to the payor?	7a		X						
b	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7b								
С	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was required to file Form 8282?	7c		х						
đ	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	100	対数							
e	Did the organization receive any funds, directly or indirectly, to pay premiums on a personal benefit contract?	7e	<u> </u>	X						
f_		7f	<u> </u>	Х						
g	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g	├	Х						
h	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	7h	er an	74-75-3						
8	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting	33	10	ا جو بھا اس کی جا						
	organizations. Did the supporting organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring organization, have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8		17.18						
9	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.	#G898	Ž. 52.78	R 127						
a	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a	كالم نطقة	E STATE						
b	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b	一	┢						
10	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter:	28-6		2.3						
a	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12	20.	200							
b	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities . 10b		E.	g - 94						
11	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter:									
а	Gross income from members or shareholders									
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources	100	精节	6.3						
	against amounts due or received from them)	يروا	2							
12a b	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041? If "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year 12b	12a		\$5°.42						
13	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.	4		影響						
а										
-	Note. See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.									
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which									
	the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans									
C	Enter the amount of reserves on hand		2							
14a	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a		X						
<u>b</u>	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	14b								
	Attachment 1	For	m 99() (201						

Form 99	DO (2012)		P	age 6				
Part	Governance, Management, and Disclosure For each "Yes" response to lines 2 through 7b below, response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O Sch							
Secti	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VI	· ·	<u> </u>					
Occi	on A. Governing Dody and Management		Yes	No				
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year . 1a 3		42.5	7.73				
	If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or							
	if the governing body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar							
	committee, explain in Schedule O	30		30.4				
b	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent 1b 3	£ 3.2	(1.4				
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with		2	ولانن				
•	any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	2		<u> </u>				
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct supervision of officers, directors, or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?		1	v				
4		3		X				
4 5	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed? Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets?	5		X				
6	Did the organization have members or stockholders?	6		$\frac{x}{x}$				
7a	Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint	 						
	one or more members of the governing body?	7a		X				
b	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members,							
	stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	7b		X				
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during	\$5						
	the year by the following:	2						
а	The governing body?	8a	X					
9 9	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body? Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at	8b	Х					
3	the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	۵		х				
Secti	on B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Reven	ue C	ode.)					
			Yes	No				
10a	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	10a		X				
b	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters,		-					
	affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	10b						
11a		11a	X					
	Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990							
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13	12a		X				
b	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give rise to conflicts?	12b						
С	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes," describe in Schedule O how this was done	120						
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13		X				
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	X					
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by		100	第二				
	independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?							
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	15a		X				
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization	15b	,	X				
40-	If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions)	3						
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement with a tayable entity during the year?	75						
_	with a taxable entity during the year?	16a	HANNE MY	X				
þ	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the	No.		1				
	the control of the co							
Section	on C. Disclosure	16b						
17	List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed ▶							
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (Section available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply	n 501	(c)(3)s	only)				
	☐ Own website ☐ Another's website ☐ Upon request ☐ Other (explain in Schedule O)							
19 .	Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization made its governing documents, conflict of and financial statements available to the public during the tax year	of inte	rest p	olicy,				
20	State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records		•					

	······································	
Part VII	Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees,	and
	Independent Contractors	

Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VII . . .

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.

- List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
 - List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employees."
- List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order, individual trustees or directors, institutional trustees; officers; key employees; highest compensated employees; and former such persons

Check this box if neither the organization nor any related organization compensated any current officer, director, or trustee

(A) Name and Trile	(B) Average hours per	(do n box, office	(C) Position (do not check more than one box, unless person is both an officer and a director/trustee)					(D) Reportable	(E) Reportable compensation from related	(F) Estimated
	hours for related organizations below dotted line)		Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	organizations (W-2/1099-MISC)	compensation from the organization and related organizations
(1)SCOTT TAYLOR DIRECTOR AND CHAIRMAN	1	х		х				0	0	0
(2) JAMES M. WILLIAMSON DIRECTOR AND TREASURER	1	х	-	х		-	<u>.</u>	0	- 0	0
(3) DON ALEXANDER DIRECTOR	1	х		<u> </u>			_	0	0	0
(4)				<u>. </u>				l	l	
(5)										
(6)										
(7)										
(8)										
(9)										·
(10)										
(11)										
(12)										
(13)										
(14)										

Part	VII Section A. Officers, Directors, Trust	ees, Key E	mplo	/ees	, ar	nd H	lighes	st C	ompensated E	mployees (c	ontinue	d)	_
(A) Name and title		(B) Average hours per week (list any	box, office	Position (do not check more than or box, unless person is both officer and a director/truste					(D) Reportable compensation from	(E) Reportable compensation from		(F) Estimated amount of other	
			Individual trustee or director	Institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	the organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	organization (W-2/1099-Mi		compensation from the organization and related organizations	
(15)								_					
(16)													
(17)				-	-								_
(18)				-	-						\dashv		_
(19)			-	-	\vdash	_	-	-			\dashv		_
(20)			-	-	 	-		-					_
(21)				-	-	┢		-			\dashv		
(22)			_	-	_	\vdash		-			-		—
(23)								-					_
(24)				-		-		-			\dashv		
(25)				├	-		_	-	 	 -			
. 1b c	Total from continuation sheets to Part	VII, Sectio		L	<u>. </u>	<u>L_</u>	l	> >	0		0		0
2	Total (add lines 1b and 1c) Total number of individuals (including but reportable compensation from the organi	not limited		nose	e list	ed NO	above NF.	e) w				of	
3	Did the organization list any former of employee on line 1a? If "Yes," complete s	ficer, direc			ust	ee,	key e	emp	bloyee, or high	nest comper	nsated	Yes N	lo V
4	For any individual listed on line 1a, is the organization and related organizations individual	greater th	an \$	150,	,000	? /	f "Ye	S, "	complete Sch	nedule J for	such	4	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
5	Did any person listed on line 1a receive of for services rendered to the organization									zation or ind	ividual		Kill K
	n B. Independent Contractors			_		_							_
1	Complete this table for your five highest compensation from the organization. Repyear.	compensat port compe	ensatio	dep	end or ti	ent ne c	contralend	act lar y	ors that receiv	ed more that th or within t	n \$100 he orga	,000 of anızation's tax	
	(A) Name and business address							(B) Description of services		(C) Compensation			
						_							
2	Total number of independent contractor							th	nose listed ab	ove) who			
	received more than \$100,000 of compens Attachment 1	sation from	the o	rgai	niza	tion	<u> </u>			NONE		Form 990 (2	<u>源</u> (012)

Part VIII Statement of Revenue Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VIII.									
B 17 56	, ;	Check if Schedule O c	contains a r	espo	nse to any ques			(C) Unrelated	(D)
						(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	Unrelated business revenue	Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512, 513, or 514
nts nts	1a	Federated campaigns		1a		Particle of			
Contributions, Gifts, Grants and Other Similar Amounts	ь	Membership dues		1b					
A. E	C	Fundraising events .	[1c					
Gifts, Ilar A	đ	d Related organizations 1d			181 3 4 2 3 2 3 2	2.4 化物产	V. V		
8 E	e	Government grants (conf		1e		The second se	- sample of the second		L. CARLON STATE
E S	f	All other contributions, gr		1f	Į				
夏曼			and similar amounts not included above		1,817,287				· 图 · 图 · 图 · 图
Contributions, and Other Sim	9	Noncash contributions included in lines 1a-1f \$							
	<u>h</u>	Total. Add lines 1a-1	<u> </u>		· · · · •	1,817,287	4.		
S					Business Code	5 44 3		1.12.12.22	
ž	2a					<u> </u>			
₹	Ь				ļ				<u> </u>
Ž	C				ļ	 			
Se	d								
	е	A.D						}	
Program Service Revenue	ľ	All other program serv		е	L		1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1. 12 1.	Constitution of the second	120, 134 125 126 I
	9_	Total. Add lines 2a-2: Investment income			endo interest				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	3	and other similar amo		aivia	enas, interest,)	Ì	
			-			<u> </u>	 	 -	
	4	Income from investment	t of tax-exen	npt o	ona proceeds	 	}	 	
	5	Royalties	(i) Real	• •	(ii) Personal	GOTHER SEPTEMBER		TE BESS TALLE	CANADA TANADA ANTA
	60	Gross rents .	. (7).1021		()				
	6a b	Less: rental expenses			 		***		
	G	Rental income or (loss)		7	 	7. 7. 76.			
	d	Net rental income or (مانه معالم المناسبة المالية	ا عَمَانُنَا الْمُعَامُّاتُ الْمُعَامِّدُ الْمُعَامِّدُ الْمُعَامِّدُ الْمُعَامِّدُ الْمُعَامِّدُ ا	THE PARTY AND THE
	7a	Gross amount from sales of	(i) Secunti	<u> </u>	(ii) Other	: SACRON - PAR	Secretary - Turk	1. シーズン・ナの地である	CZSA ZZZ
	,	assets other than inventory			 			144	
	. ь	Less: cost or other basis							
	. –	and sales expenses					1		
	c	Gain or (loss)		0	0				
	d	Net gain or (loss)				0	ili diniinde i infili	AND PERSONS	Short State
					ſ	2 14 18 W. W.	CATTER OF	## 955 ··	
9	8a	Gross income from fu	ndraising		l				国家 ,艾尔·桑
19/		events (not including \$	-		1		0.75	E O TO SERVE	52. T. A. C.
8		of contributions reporte	d on line 1d	;)					
Other Reve		See Part IV, line 18 .		a	Į.				
돗	b	Less: direct expenses		. b		N. F. A.		第75 次 机弧	
	C	Net income or (loss) fr	rom fundra	sıng	events . >	0	EXA MA)	
	9a	Gross income from ga	ming activit	ies	<u> </u>	Z311	1.77		
		See Part IV, line 19		a					
	b	Less: direct expenses		b					
	C	Net income or (loss) fr		•	ivities >	0			
	10a	Gross sales of in		ess			新文王 文章		新 特。
		returns and allowance	returns and allowances a						
	b	Less: cost of goods se		. b					
	C	Net income or (loss) fr		f inv		0			
ļ		Miscellaneous Re	evenue		Business Code			Table -	
Ì	11a						l		
	b	***************************************							
J	C	***************************************							
]	d	All other revenue .		į	L				
ł	_	Total. Add lines 11a-			•			多观况 作机	
	12	Total revenue. See in	structions.	<u>.</u>	<u> ▶</u>	1,817,287			<u></u>
	A	Attachment 1				-			Form 990 (2012)

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses
Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must con-

Section 501(c)(5) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns. All other organizations must complete column (7)						
	Check if Schedule O contains a respon	se to any question	in this Part IX	<u> </u>		
	ot include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, o, and 10b of Part VIII.	(A) Total expenses	(B) Program service expenses	(C) Management and general expenses	(D) Fundraising expenses	
1	Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States See Part IV, line 21	·		STATE OF THE STATE		
2	Grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States. See Part IV, line 22.					
3	Grants and other assistance to governments, organizations, and individuals outside the United States. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16					
4 5	Benefits paid to or for members Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees			AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		
6	Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B)					
7 8	Other salaries and wages Pension plan accruals and contributions (include section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions)			,		
9 10 11	Other employee benefits					
a b	Management	50,000 40,059	-	50,000 40,059		
c d e	Accounting	6,074 82,976		6,074	82,976	
f g	Investment management fees	93,223	93,223			
12	Advertising and promotion	1,359,898	1,265,780	* 41 00 5	94,118	
13 14 15	Office expenses	- 1,806 47,190		1,806		
16 17	Occupancy	4,000 16,828	8,414	4,000 4,207	4,207	
18	Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials	4.526	4,526			
19 20 21	Conferences, conventions, and meetings . Interest	4,326	4,526			
22 23	Depreciation, depletion, and amortization . Insurance					
24	Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e. If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column					
a b	(A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule O.)	The second second				
c d						
e 25	All other expenses Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e	1,706,580	1,419,133	106,146	181,301	
26	Joint costs. Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation. Check here following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720)					
	Attachment 1				Form 990 (2012)	

هدي	átt V	Check if Schodule O contains a response to	any avestion in this Dest			
		Check if Schedule O contains a response to	any question in this Part.	X		⊔ (B)
				(A) Beginning of year		End of year
	1	Cash non-interest-bearing			1	110,707
	2	Savings and temporary cash investments			2	
	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net			3	[
	4	Accounts receivable, net			4	
	5	Loans and other receivables from current and t		学活动的	,	· 次。 连 国 · 图 · 图 · 图
		trustees, key employees, and highest co Complete Part II of Schedule L	empensated employees.		5	-arthor which
ts	6	Loans and other receivables from other disqualified pers 4958(f)(1)), persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B), ar sponsoring organizations of section 501(c)(9) volun organizations (see instructions) Complete Part II of Sche	d contributing employers and tary employees' beneficiary		6	
Assets	7	Notes and loans receivable, net			7	
٧	8	Inventories for sale or use			8	
	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges			9	
	10a	Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or			5 V	
		other basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D	10a	The state of the s	16	
	b	Less: accumulated depreciation	10b		10c	0
	11	Investments—publicly traded securities			11	
	12	Investments-other securities. See Part IV, line	11		12	
	13	Investments-program-related. See Part IV, line	11		13	
	14	Intangible assets			14	
	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11			15	
	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equa	al line 34)	. 0	16	110,707
	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses			17	
	18	Grants payable			18	
	19	Deferred revenue			19	
	20	Tax-exempt bond liabilities			20	
	21	Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete I	Part IV of Schedule D		21	
8	22	Loans and other payables to current and for	ormer officers, directors,	"是"要"	宗於	
Liabilities		trustees, key employees, highest compen				
ap		disqualified persons. Complete Part II of Schedu	ile L		22	
3	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrela	ited third parties		23	
Į	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated	third parties		24	
	25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, parties, and other liabilities not included on lines of Schedule D	17-24). Complete Part X		25	
)	26	Total liabilities Add lines 17 through 25		0	26	1 0
		Total liabilities. Add lines 17 through 25 Organizations that follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958	Check here X and	,	20	The hope The book of the
Ses		complete lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 an				
真	27	Unrestricted net assets			27	110,707
ã	28				28	
2	29	Permanently restricted net assets	<u> </u>	The same of the same of the same of	29	Comp : N _ comp . Make a company of the
Net Assets or Fund Balances		Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117 (ASC 9 complete lines 30 through 34.			A S	
2	30 ·	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds			30	ļ
38	31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or ed			31	
3	32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated in	come, or other funds .		32	
2	33			0		110,707
	34	Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances .	<u> </u>	0	34	110,707

Form 99	90 (2012)			Pag	₁₀ 12
Part	XI Reconciliation of Net Assets				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XI	<u> </u>			
1	Total revenue (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12)	1	1,81		
2	Total expenses (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25)	2	1,70		
3	Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 2 from line 1	3	1	10,	
4	Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A))	4			0
5	Net unrealized gains (losses) on investments	5			
6	Donated services and use of facilities	6			
7	Investment expenses	7			
8	Prior period adjustments	8			
9	Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O)	9			
10	Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line	1 1			_
	33, column (B))	10	1:	10,	<u>707</u>
Part	XII Financial Statements and Reporting				
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
				Yes	No
1	Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: 🖾 Cash 🔲 Accrual 🔲 Other		10		179
	If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," ex	oplain in		173	
	Schedule O.				
2a			2a	X	
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were com-	piled or	43.5	4	
	reviewed on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both.			6. 3	
	Separate basis Consolidated basis Both consolidated and separate basis				
b			2b		<u>X</u>
	If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audit	ed on a			<u>(</u> , ,
	separate basis, consolidated basis, or both.			影片	2.3
	☐ Separate basis ☐ Consolidated basis ☐ Both consolidated and separate basis		Litt.		انش
C	If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for c		1 1		
	of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent acco	untant?	2c	X	
	If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, e	xplain ın		25 PM	
	Schedule O.			34.	
За	As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set	forth in			
	the Single Audit Act and OMB Circular A-133?		3a		_X_
b	If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not und				
	required audit or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such	audıts_	<u>3</u> b		
			Form	n 99 0	(2012)

1004444666M

SCHEDULE G (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information Regarding Fundraising or Gaming Activities

Complete of the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, lines 17, 18, or 19, or if the organization entered more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a

OMB No 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury organization entered more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a Open to Public Internal Revenue Service Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ See separate instructions.							Open to Public Inspection
Name of the organiza						Employer identifica	
	PERATIONS OPS					45-555255	
	Part I Fundraising Activities. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 17 Form 990-EZ filers are not required to complete this part						
	whether the organizatio				ving activities Ch	eck all that apply	
a 🔲 Mail s	colicitations		e [on of non-governm	_	
b 🔀 Intern	et and email solicitation	ns	f		on of government		
	e solicitations		g [Special f	undraising events		•
	rson solicitations	ton or oral account	mont with	oov individ	ual (mahudina affic	ore directors trusto	ne.
	rganization have a writ nployees listed in Form						as Mayes ∏No
•	ist the ten highest paid		-		•	_	—
	ated at least \$5,000 by			J. J			
	address of individual lity (fundraiser)	(n) Activity	custody o	draiser have r control of outlons?	(iv) Gross receipts from activity	(v) Amount paid to (or retained by) fundraiser listed in col (t)	(vi) Amount paid to (or retained by) organization
	 		Yes	No	 		
1 CAMPAIGN SOL	UTIONS	1			\	_	.
	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314	INTERNET/E-MAIL	X	ļ	556,177	45,520	510,657
2 NATIONAL CAPI			<u> </u>	×	.	16,765	(16,765)
8913 EARLY ST MAN		FUNDRAISING PLAN	NING	 ^-	 	10,765	(10, 763)
3 DEBBIE LEHARE	DY AND CO ST ARLINGTON, VA: 22207	FUNDRAISING PLAN	NING	·x	lol	10,000	(10,000)
4	OT ANGROTON, VA EZZOT	I CHORAIGING FOAT		1	<u> </u>	,	<u> </u>
5							
6							
-7							
8							
9				<u> </u>			
10							
Total		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	56,177.00	72 285 00	483,892.00
	ates in which the orga						
registration NONE	on or licensing						
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••							

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

Pa	rt II	Fundraising Events. Con than \$15,000 of fundraising gross receipts greater tha	ng event contributions a			
		group rough	(a) Event #1	(b) Evenl #2	(c) Other events	(d) Total events (add col (a) through
m			(event type)	(event type)	(total number)	col (c))
Revenue	1	Gross receipts				
Œ	2	Less Contributions				
	3	Gross income (line 1 minus line 2)				
	4	Cash prizes			L	
	5	Noncash prizes				
Direct Expenses	6	Rent/facility costs				
Ϋ́	7	Food and beverages				
Direct	8	Entertainment				
	9	Other direct expenses				
	10	Direct expense summary Ac Net income summary Comb			<u> </u>	(
Pa	rt III	Gaming. Complete if the	e organization answei), Part IV, line 19, or i	eported more
one		than \$15,000 on Form 9	90-EZ, line 6a (a) Bingo	(b) Pull tabs/instant bingo/progressive bingo	(c) Other gaming	(d) Total gaming (add col (a) through col (c))
Revenue		_				
-	1	Gross revenue			<u> </u>	
Ses	Ž	Cash prizes		<u> </u>		
rect Expenses	3	Noncash prizes				
Direct	4	Rent/facility costs				
_	5	Other direct expenses				
	6	Volunteer labor	☐ Yes %	Yes %	☐ Yes% ☐ No	
	7	Direct expense summary Ad	ld lines 2 through 5 in c	olumn (d)	•	(
	8	Net gaming income summar	y Combine line 1, colun	nn d, and line 7		
	a Is	nter the state(s) in which the or the organization licensed to of 'No," explain		in each of these states'		☐ Yes ☐ No
10		ere any of the organization's g 'Yes," explain	aming licenses revoked	•	•	
					,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	

Schedul	le G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012		Page 3
11 _.	Does the organization operate gaming activities with nonmembers? Is the organization a grantor, beneficiary or trustee of a trust or a member of a partnership or other entriformed to administer charitable gaming?	☐ Yes y ☐ Yes	
13	Indicate the percentage of gaming activity operated in	1	
a	•	la	%
b			%
14	Enter the name and address of the person who prepares the organization's gaming/special events books ar records	d	
	Name ►		
	Address ▶		
	Does the organization have a contract with a third party from whom the organization receives gamin revenue?	_	□ No
b c	If "Yes," enter the amount of gaming revenue received by the organization ▶ \$ and the amount of gaming revenue retained by the third party ▶ \$ lf "Yes," enter name and address of the third party		
	Name ►		
	Address ▶		
16	Gaming manager information		
	Name ▶		
	Garning manager compensation ▶ \$		
	Description of services provided ▶		
	☐ Director/officer ☐ Employee ☐ Independent contractor		
<u>1</u> 7 _	Mandatory distributions		 □No
b	Enter the amount of distributions required under state law to be distributed to other exempt organizations of spent in the organization's own exempt activities during the tax year > \$		
Part I			his
CAMP	IAGN SOLUTIONS RECEIVED FUNDS ON BEHALF OF THE ORGANIZATION IN AN	ESCROW	
	UNT AND, AFTER DEDUCTING EXPENSES, FORWARDED THE FUNDS TO THE ORG		N
	DEPOSIT IN ITS ACCOUNTS.		

Schedule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.

2012 Open to Public Inspection

OMB No 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Name of the organization	Employer identification number
SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCATION FUND, INC	45-5552554
·	
PART VI -LINE 11b -THIS FORM 990 HAS BEEN COMPARED TO	THE FINANCIAL
STATEMENTS BY THE ORGANIZATION'S FINANCIAL MANAGER AND	O REVIEWED BY THE
ORGANIZATION'S OFFICERS PRIOR TO SUBMISSION.	
PART VI- LINE 19 -NO DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC	-
PARI VI- LINE 19 -NO DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC	
······································	
<u>.</u>	
	•

(Rev January 2013)

Application for Extension of Time To File an **Exempt Organization Return**

OMB No 1545-1709

	Department of the Treasury File a separate application for each return.							
• If you are filing for an Automatic 3-Month Extension, complete only Part I and check this box								
•	_	n Additional (Not Automatic) 3-Mo	•	•			orm)	
Do not co	mplete Pa	rt II unless you have already been g	ranted an a	automatic 3-month e	extension on a previou	sly fil	ed Form	n 8868.
a corporati 8868 to re Return for	on require quest an e Transfers	ile). You can electronically file Form of to file Form 990-T), or an additiona extension of time to file any of the for Associated With Certain Personal de details on the electronic filing of th	al (not auto orms listed Benefit C	matic) 3-month externion and in Part II or Part II contracts, which mu	ension of time. You ca with the exception of ust be sent to the IR	n ele Forn S in	ctronica n 8870, paper	lly file Form Information format (see
Part I	Automa	tic 3-Month Extension of Time	Only sub	mit original (no co	pies needed).			
	tion requir	ed to file Form 990-T and reques				box	and c	omplete ▶ □
_	-	i (including 1120-C filers), partnershi	ps, REMIC	s, and trusts must u	use Form 7004 to requ	iest a	ın exten	sion of time
to file inco	me tax retu	ms.						
	I Name of	exempt organization or other filer, see in	otm rations		Enter filer's identifying			
Type or		•		ND 1110	Employer identification 45-555254	numb	er (Ella) c	Уľ
print		AL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCA street, and room or suite no. If a P.O. bo			Social security number	(SSN)		
File by the due date for		CING STREET STE 400	A, 500 II MI		Cooler Society Hamber	(00.1)		
filing your		n or post office, state, and ZIP code. For	a foreign ac	dress, see instruction	s.			
return See instructions	ALEX	NDRIA, VA 22314						
Enter the F	Return code	e for the return that this application is	s for (file a	separate application	n for each return)			. 01
Application	on		Return	Application			Return	
is For			Code			Code		
	or Form 9	90-EZ	01	Form 990-T (corporation)			07	
Form 990		n.	02	Form 1041-A				08
Form 990	0 (individu:	<u>u)</u>	03 04	Form 4720 Form 5227				10
		1(a) or 408(a) trust)	05	Form 6069				11
•			06	Form 8870				12
Form 990-T (trust other than above)								
		e care of ► SUSAN ARCENEA		•••••				
		703) 409-8007		AX No. ▶				
		oes not have an office or place of bu						. ▶ 🔲
		Return, enter the organization's fou check this box					. If th ∃and a	
		and EINs of all members the extension	•	tor the group, check				liaci i
		tomatic 3-month (6 months for a co		equired to file Form	990-T) extension of ti	me		
unti	AUGU	ST 15 , 20 13 , to file the exen	npt organiz	zation return for the	organization named al	bove.	The ext	tension is
	_	ation's return for:						
▶ 2	g calendar	year 20 <u>12</u> or						
	7		00				00	
] tax year	peginning entered in line 1 is for less than 12 m	, 20	, and ending	d coturn		, 20	
	-	entered in line 1 is for less than 12 m accounting period	ionins, che	SUN (EASUI). [_] INITIS	a return	um		
3a If this application is for Form 990-BL, 990-PF, 990-T, 4720, or 6069, enter the tentative tax, less any nonrefundable credits. See instructions. 3a \$								
b If th	nis applica	tion is for Form 990-PF, 990-T, 4						
estimated tax payments made. Include any prior year overpayment allowed as a credit. Balance due. Subtract line 3b from line 3a. Include your payment with this form, if required, by using								
EFT	PS (Electro	onic Federal Tax Payment System).	See instruc	tions.		3c	\$	

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions.

Form 8868 (Rev 1-2013)

Form 8868 (Re					Page 2
 If you are 	filing for an Additional (Not Automatic)	3-Month Exten	sion, complete or	nly Part II and check this box	. ▶ 🛚
Note. Only If you are	complete Part II if you have already been filing for an Automatic 3-Month Extensi	granted an aut	omatic 3-month ex only Part I (on page	tension on a previously filed Form (e 1)	3868.
Part II	Additional (Not Automatic) 3-Mont	th Extension	of Time. Only file	the original (no copies needed)	
				Enter filer's identifying number, se	
Type or	Name of exempt organization or other filer,	see instructions		Employer identification number (EIN)	or
print	nt SPECIAL OPERATIONS OPSEC EDUCATION FUND, INC 45-5552554		45-5552554		
File by the	Number, street, and room or suite no If a P	O box, see instr			
due date for	901 KING STREET STE 40	0	0		
filing your return See	City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code	e For a foreign a	ddress, see instructio	ns	
Instructions	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
Enter the R	eturn code for the return that this applicat	tion is for (file a	separate application	on for each return)	. 01
Application	on	Return	Application		Return
Is For		Code	is For		Code
Form 990	or Form 990-EZ	01	12 12 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13		- W. 135 W.
Form 990-	BL	02	Form 1041-A	4,576, 700, 1881	08
Form 4720) (individual)	03	Form 4720		09
Form 990-	PF	04	Form 5227		10
Form 990-	T (sec. 401(a) or 408(a) trust)	05	Form 6069		11
	T (trust other than above)	06	Form 8870		12
	s are in the care of No. anization does not have an office or place			•	
for the who	or a Group Return, enter the organization's le group, check this box] . If it is for par	t of the group, che	ck this box I and	this is attach a
4 req	uest an additional 3-month extension of to calendar year 2012 or other tax year beg	ime until	NOVEMBER	15 .20 13 .	
5 For c	calendar year 2012or other tax year beg	ginning	. 20	, and ending	, 20
6 If the	tax year entered in line 5 is for less than	12 months, ch	eck reason: XII	nitial return	
	hange in accounting period	_			-
	e in detail why you need the extension	TAXPAYER HAS	BEEN UNABLE TO A	ACCUMULATE ALL INFORMATION NEC	ESSARY
	ILE A COMPLETE AND ACCURATE RETURN				
	s application is for Form 990-BL, 990-PF efundable credits. See instructions	, 990-T, 4720,	or 6069, enter the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		T 4700 as 0	000	8a \$	
b If thi estima mou	s application is for Form 990-PF, 990 nated tax payments made. Include any unt paid previously with Form 8868.	onor year ove	uby, enter any re erpayment allowed	as a credit and any 8b \$	
	nce due. Subtract line 8b from line 8a Incluir fronic Federal Tax Payment System) See ins		t with this form, if re	quired, by using EFTPS 8c \$	0.0
	Signature and Veri	fication mus	t be completed		
	es of penury, I declare that I have examine of belief, it is true, correct, and complete, and				the best of m
ignature >	au alush Re	Title >	Cel-	Date ► 8/1.	3/13
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Form 88	68 (Rev 1-201

Form **8871**(Rev. July 2003)
Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Political Organization Notice of Section 527 Status

OMB No. 1545-1693

Part I General Information

1 Name of organization	Employer identification number
Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee	46 - 0725135
2 Mailing address (P.O. box or number, street, and room	or suite number)
901 King St Suite 400	
City or town, state, and ZIP code	
Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
3 Check applicable box: Initial notice ∠	Amended notice Final notice
4a Date established	4b Date of material change
08/06/2012	08/06/2012
5 E-mail address of organization	
6a Name of custodian of records	6b Custodian's address
James M Williamson	901 King St Suite 400
	Alexandria, VA 22314 -
7a Name of contact person	7b Contact person's address
James M Williamson	901 King St Suite 400
	Alexandria, VA 22314 -
8 Business address of organization (if different from ma	ailing address shown above). Number, street, and room or suite number
901 King St Suite 400	
City or town, state, and ZIP code	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
9a Election authority	9b Election authority identification number
NONE .	•

Part II Notification of Claim of Exemption From Filing Certain Forms (see instructions)

10a Is this organization claiming exemption from filing Form 8872, Political Organization Report of Contributions and Expenditures, as a qualified state or local political organization? Yes __ No ✓

10b If 'Yes,' list the state where the organization files reports:

11 Is this organization claiming exemption from filing Form 990 (or 990-EZ), Return of Organization Exempt from Income Tax, as a caucus or associations of state or local officials? Yes __ No ✓

Purpose

Part III Purpose
12 Describe the purpose of the organization

Political committee to educate the public on national security issues.

14a Name of related entity	14b Relationship	14c Address	
Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund	Connected	901 King Street Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 - 3055	·
Part V List of All Officers, Di	irectors and Highly	Compensated Employees (see instructions)	
15a Name	15b Title	15c Address	
·			
Joe Hough	Secretary	901 King St Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
Frederick W. Rustmann Jr	President	901 King St Suite 400	 -
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
James M Williamson	Treasurer	901 King St Suite 400	
		Alexandria, VA 22314 -	
Internal Revenue Code, and that	at I have examined this notice,	ed in Part I is to be treated as a tax-exempt organization described in section, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my hat I am the official authorized to sign this report, and I am signing by entering	y knowled
		-	

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL	AND	LEGAL.	ANAI	ZIZY.

1
2

3 RESPONDENTS:

Scott Taylor for Delegate

MUR 7078

4 5

5

I. INTRODUCTION

7 8

9

10

11

12

21

22

23

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed by Lisa Clarkson. The Complaint alleges that Scott Taylor for Delegate violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations by using nonfederal funds to finance federal campaign activity. The Commission dismisses the allegation that Respondent violated the Act or regulations.

13 II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. The Complaint alleges that Scott Taylor for
Delegate provided unreported in-kind contributions to Taylor's federal committee using
nonfederal funds. From January through February 2016, the federal committee's website used
the same URL as Taylor's state legislative campaign, and the hosting of that website was
purportedly paid for by Scott Taylor for Delegate.

20 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

A federal candidate, or an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled by or acting on behalf of a federal candidate, is prohibited from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with an election for federal

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

² Compl. at ¶ 8 (June 1, 2016).

MUR 7078 (Scott Taylor for Delegate) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

- office that are not subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.³
- 2 Because Virginia law permits a state committee to accept unlimited direct contributions from any
- 3 individual, corporation, union, association, or partnership, Taylor's Virginia state committee
- 4 account likely contains funds not subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions. Further,
- 5 Commission regulations prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a candidate's nonfederal
- 6 campaign committee to his or her federal committee.⁵
- 7 The record provides reason to believe that Scott Taylor for Delegate incurred expenses
- 8 for hosting the federal committee's website. Those expenditures constitute impermissible in-
- 9 kind contributions. However, the value of those contributions appears de minimis, and the
- 10 Committee is no longer using the state committee's website. Accordingly, the Commission
- dismisses the allegation that Scott Taylor for Delegate violated 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A) or
- 12 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d).8

⁵² U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

See Virginia Department of Elections, Summary of Laws and Policies: Candidate Campaign Committees (Sept. 14, 2015) at 17; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a), 30118(a).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.3(d).

The Commission has previously found that use of a candidate's state funds for federal campaign activity is impermissible. See MUR 6267 (Paton, et al.); MUR 5426 (Shultz, et al.).

A review of the website as of October 2016 reveals that the domain hosted by the state committee, http://scotttaylorforva.com/, is no longer available, and that the Committee website is now http://scotttaylor.us/. The new site includes a disclaimer stating that the website is paid for by the federal Committee.

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); MUR 6773 (Nestande, et al.) (dismissing use of nonfederal funds allegation because expenses were de minimis).

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL A	ND	LEGAL	ANAI	LYSIS
------------------	----	-------	-------------	-------

2 /
3 RESPONDENTS:

Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund

MUR: 7084

Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee

4 5 6

1

I. INTRODUCTION

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

This matter was generated by a Complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by

- 9 Lisa Clarkson. The Complaint alleges that two non-profit entities, Special Operations OPSEC
- 10 Education Fund and Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee, violated several provisions
- of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission
- regulations. For the reasons set forth below, the Commission dismisses the allegations.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor was also the chairman of a 501(c)(4) organization, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund ("Education Fund"). The Education Fund was formed by former U.S. military special operations veterans to express concern about the Obama administration's alleged leaks for political purposes of sensitive information regarding special operations. In 2012, the Education Fund reported spending approximately \$500,000 for electioneering communications criticizing President Obama. A related — but now

defunct — entity, OPSEC Political Committee, reported making \$63,350 in independent

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

Taylor is listed as the person exercising control over the Education Fund in the group's electioneering communication filings in 2012 and 2013. The group has interchangeably referred to Taylor as both president and chairman in public communications, most recently in a March 4, 2016, Facebook post listing Taylor as OPSEC's chairman. At some point after March 4, 2016, but before July 6, 2016, Jamie Williamson appears to have succeeded Taylor as president, though it is unclear if Taylor continues to serve in a leadership position or otherwise exercises control over the Education Fund. See Kristina Wong, "Ex-special ops group blasts Clinton email decision," The HILL, http://thehill.com/policy/defense/286711-group-representing-former-special-operators-blasts-clinton-email-decision (July 6, 2016). The group's website does not list its leadership or organizational structure.

³ See http://www.opsecteam.org/background.html.

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 9

- 1 expenditures opposing President Obama's 2012 re-election. Neither OPSEC group reported
- 2 electioneering communications or independent expenditures in 2016.
- 3 The Complaint alleges that the Education Fund failed to properly file electioneering
- reports for the period between October 16, 2012, and November 4, 2012, and the OPSEC 4
- Political Committee failed to file complete and timely disclosure reports.⁴ It further alleges that 5
- 6 both OPSEC entities failed to register as political committees and file required disclosure
- 7 reports.5

9

12

8 III. **ANALYSIS**

A. Untimely 2012 Disclosure Reports by the OPSEC Entities

10 Every person that makes disbursements for electioneering communications aggregating 11 in excess of \$10,000 during a calendar year must file a disclosure statement with the

Commission.⁶ These statements must disclose the identities of any person who shared or exercised control in making the disbursement.⁷ Additionally, every entity that is not a political 13

14 committee and that makes independent expenditures aggregating in excess of \$250 with respect

15 to a given election in a calendar year must file reports of independent expenditures with the

16 Commission. These reports must identify donors who have contributed in excess of \$200 for

Compl. at III (June 14, 2016) (citing Commission requests to amend reports).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30104(f); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(b).

⁵² U.S.C. § 30104(f)(2)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 104.20(c)(2).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 109.10(b).

16

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 9

- 1 the purpose of furthering the reported expenditure(s).9 Political committees must file regular
- 2 reports of their receipts and disbursements. 10
- Both OPSEC entities respond that they timely amended their 2012 filings. 11 During the
- 4 2012 cycle, the Education Fund filed 24-hour electioneering communications reports, but six
- 5 reports failed to disclose the person exercising control over the communications. The
- 6 Commission's Reports Analysis Division ("RAD") issued six Requests for Additional
- 7 Information ("RFAIs") requesting that information, and the Education Fund timely amended the
- 8 statements to show that Taylor exercised control over the communications. Likewise, OPSEC
- 9 Political Committee received several letters from RAD citing reporting issues, including two
- 10 notices of failure to file required quarterly reports, as well as three RFAIs concerning the use of
- 11 an incorrect form and failure to disclosure donor information. OPSEC Political Committee
- 12 adequately responded to the issues and filed the missing quarterly reports. Both OPSEC entities
- 13 corrected the errors shortly after receipt of RFAIs, and these allegations do not merit further
- 14 enforcement action. Thus, Commission dismisses these allegations. 12

B. Political Committee Status and Reporting Obligations

- The Complaint broadly asserts that the OPSEC entities "failed to comply with the
- 17 reporting requirements for receipts and disbursements of political committees."13
- In response, the Education Fund states that it was not a political committee during the
- 19 relevant period and did not engage in activity that had to be reported to the Commission.¹⁴

⁹ Id.; 11 C.F.R. § 109.10(e)(1)(vi).

¹⁰ 52 U.S.C. § 30104(a)(b).

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 1-2 (Aug. 1, 2016); OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1 (Aug. 1, 2016).

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); see generally MUR 5746 (Robinson for Congress, et al.) (dismissing reporting violation after the committee properly responded to RFAIs).

¹³ Compl. at III.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 9

- 1 Instead, it states that it is a 501(c)(4) organization, and its "primary purpose" is not political
- 2 activity. The Education Fund admits that it solicited donations for Taylor on its Facebook page,
- 3 but states that it "removed those posts from its page and at no time did it engage in any other
- 4 solicitations on behalf of Scott Taylor for Congress." OPSEC Political Committee states that it
- 5 did not qualify as a political committee. 16 It further states that it terminated its existence with the
- 6 IRS on February 28, 2013.

1. The Test for Political Committee Status

The Act and Commission regulations define a "political committee" as "any committee, club, association or other group of persons which receives contributions aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year or which makes expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year." In *Buckley v. Valeo*, the Supreme Court held that defining political committee status "only in terms of the annual amount of 'contributions' and 'expenditures'" might be overbroad, reaching "groups engaged purely in issue discussion." To cure that infirmity, the Court concluded that the term "political committee" "need only encompass organizations that are under the control of a candidate or the *major purpose of which is the nomination or election of a candidate.*" Accordingly, under the statute as thus construed, an

OPSEC Fund Resp. at 2.

¹⁵ Id. The Complaint further states that these Facebook posts constitute fraudulent solicitations. Suppl. Comp. at IV. This allegation is thinly plead and is not supported by the record.

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

⁵² U.S.C. § 30101(4)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.5. The Act defines "contribution" to include "any gift, subscription, loan, advance, or deposit of money or anything of value made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(8)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.52. Likewise, "expenditure" includes "any payment, distribution, loan, advance, deposit, or gift of money or anything of value, made by any person for the purpose of influencing any election for Federal office." 52 U.S.C. § 30101(9)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 100.111.

¹⁸ Buckley v. Valeo, 424 U.S. 1, 79 (1976).

¹⁹ Id. (emphasis added).

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 5 of 9

- 1 organization that is not controlled by a candidate must register as a political committee only if
- 2 (1) it crosses the \$1,000 threshold; and (2) it has as its "major purpose" the nomination or
- 3 election of federal candidates.²⁰

2. The Education Fund

The OPSEC Education Fund is a 501(c)(4) organization that began filing electioneering communication reports with the Commission during the 2012 election cycle.²¹ Taylor was its chairman from 2012 to at least March 2016. The group's current website describes itself as "a non-partisan grassroots advocacy organization focused on protecting US Special Operations Forces and national intelligence assets and operatives from political exploitation and policies, and the misuse of classified information, that unnecessarily exposes them and their families to greater risk and reduces their effectiveness in keeping Americans safe."²²

The available record does not provide a basis to draw a reasonable inference that the Education Fund received contributions or made expenditures aggregating in excess of \$1,000 during a calendar year. The Commission has no information indicating that the group received funds for the purpose of influencing an election when it began making electioneering

3

In examining the "major purpose" of an organization, the Commission takes a case-by-case approach that requires a fact-intensive analysis of the group's activities. See Supplemental E & J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 5601 (Feb. 7, 2007). The Commission has indicated that it will analyze two primary factors when examining a group's major purpose: (1) a group's spending, particularly whether its spending has become "extensive," and (2) a group's stated purpose, as indicated through its public statements and internal documents and communications. See FEC v. MCFL, 479 U.S. 238, 262-264 (1986); FEC v. GOP AC, Inc., 917 F. Supp. 851, 859 (D.D.C. 1996).

The Education Fund has not reported electioneering communications since that time.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/mission.html (last visited March 14, 2016).

9

10

11

. 12

14

15

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 9

- 1 communications in 2012. Similarly, the Commission does not have probative information
- 2 indicating that the group received more than \$1,000 in contributions in 2016.²³
- 3 The Commission also does not have information showing that the Education Fund made
- 4 more than \$1,000 in expenditures during any calendar year. In 2012, the group filed reports of
- 5 several electioneering communications representing costs of approximately \$500,000.²⁴ Those
- 6 communications, however, do not appear to contain express advocacy, and therefore do not
- 7 constitute "expenditures" under the Act or regulations.²⁵

Nor does the group's more recent activity indicate that it made more than \$1,000 in expenditures in 2016, or any other year. While the filings in this matter contain little information about the Education Fund's later disbursements, our review found a few activities that at first seem as if they might be expenditures. On closer examination, however, these activities do not

indicate that the Education Fund spent more than \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal

13 election.

• First, the group produced a 29-second ad titled "We Get Angry" in September 2015 that Taylor narrates, which criticizes Clinton by stating "Our friends pay the

The group's website did solicit funds to "definitely stop Hillary Clinton" at some point in 2016, when she was no longer Secretary of State but was a federal candidate. This solicitation suggests that the group may have received some contributions in 2016, but we have not been able to confirm that it did, or that such contributions exceeded \$1,000.

That solicitation has since been removed from the group's website. Given that Taylor was succeeded as Chairman at some point between March and July 2016, it is unclear whether Taylor exercised control over the Education Fund during the time that it solicited funds to "definitively stop Hillary Clinton." See supra, note 2.

See Electioneering Communication reports for Committee ID C30002042; Attach. 1 at 1, Special Operations OPSEC Education Fund, Inc. IRS Form 990 (2012).

The Office of the General Counsel's review of the Fund's electioneering communications found that they do not constitute express advocacy under 11 C.F.R. § 100.22. See OPSEC Education Fund, "Bump in the Road," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RkIO7mNwi4o (discussing President Obama's handling of Benghazi and stating "there is nothing acceptable about playing politics with national security" while showing photos of Obama, but not expressly urging the defeat of Obama or otherwise referencing the election). See also Final Rule on Electioneering Communications Explanation & Justification, 72 Fed. Reg. 72,899, 72,908 (Dec. 26, 2007) (noting that criticizing a candidate's past record does not constitute taking a position on that candidate's character, qualifications, or fitness when in the context of a broader issue-based discussion).

2

6

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

price when politicians like Hillary Clinton compromise secret information over email." The ad does not contain express advocacy. 26

- Second, the group produced a five-minute video criticizing Hillary Clinton called "The Truth About Benghazi," which was shown at the Republican National Convention. That video also does not contain express advocacy.²⁷
- Finally, in late 2016, the group began to create and broadcast a web-hosted radio program that included one episode in which the host expressly advocated Hillary Clinton's defeat in the upcoming election. 28 The Office of the General Counsel does not know the costs associated with the show, but believes they were likely under \$1,000 because they have not identified any staff for the show other than its hosts, and the show was a webcast that did not appear to be professionally designed.

The video did not mention Clinton's candidacy, or contain express advocacy. See Electioneering Communication E&J, 72 Fed. Reg. at 72,908.

[&]quot;New OPSEC Ad: We Get Angry," available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4pKbnoJSNKM (published Sept. 10, 2015, last visited January 25, 2017). The Commission does not have information regarding whether the Fund ran the ad beyond the group's YouTube and Facebook pages.

See http://www.opsecteam.org/conv/ (last visited March 14, 2017). The video criticized Hillary Clinton's performance as the former Secretary of State by:

[•] Featuring a clip of Clinton repeatedly stating "we didn't lose a single person" in Libya;

[•] Noting that on the day before the attack in Benghazi, President Obama met with Clinton regarding changes that might be needed for the anniversary of 9/11 and "not a single change was made that might have helped those in the high-risk area of Benghazi";

Stating that "Hillary Clinton's State Department" requested that marines not carry weapons "in a warzone where Americans were under fire, because they didn't want it to look like an invasion, because they didn't want to offend anybody. Really?";

[•] Stating that Clinton privately called the attack a terrorist attack while publicly presenting it at as a spontaneous protest.

See http://americaoutloud.com/show/opsecradio/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017). The group first posted a link to the radio program on its Facebook account on Dec. 4, 2016. Jamie Williamson, OPSEC's co-founder, is identified as the radio show's primary host. The individual programs are not dated, but some of the episodes appear to have been posted in the days or weeks before the November 2016 election. See, e.g., "Wake Up America!," http://americaoutloud.com/wake-up-america/ (last visited Jan. 25, 2017) (Williamson stating that "Hillary Clinton is the most morally bankrupt, corrupt candidate to run for office in my lifetime of 56 years" and acknowledging the coming election, stating that as a 501(c)(4), "We cannot advocate the election of or the defeat of a particular candidate, which I won't do, but I damn well am gonna educate my listening audience," and "Hillary Clinton is an un-indicted co-conspirator and a criminal of the highest order who's put the national security of the United States at risk, and is directly or indirectly responsible for the deaths of a U.S. ambassador and three other Americans. So think before you go to the polls. Is this the commander in chief that you want for the next four years?"). See 11 C.F.R. § 100.22(b).

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 8 of 9

- 1 Thus, it is unclear whether the Education Fund met either the contribution or expenditure
- 2 threshold under the Act. In the past, the Commission has opened investigations to determine if a
- 3 group had passed the statutory threshold, but those cases involved much more significant
- 4 electoral activity than in the current matter.²⁹ Accordingly, the Commission dismisses the
- 5 allegation that the Education Fund failed to register and report as a political committee.

3. OPSEC Political Committee

The OPSEC Political Committee was a Section 527 organization established in 2012.³⁰ It filed regular disclosure reports with the IRS until its termination in 2013. Though it shared the same address and appeared to be closely related to the Education Fund, it was a separate legal entity. In 2012, it spent \$63,350 on independent expenditures opposing Barack Obama's reelection.³¹ Publicly available tax forms show that the group reported a total of \$136,821 in expenditures in 2012. Taylor's precise role with the Political Committee is unclear, and he was not a candidate for federal office during the 2012 election cycle.

OPSEC Political Committee's independent expenditures satisfy the threshold spending requirement to qualify as a political committee. ³² Additionally, the organization named itself "Special Operations OPSEC *Political Committee*" (emphasis added), which suggests that it

See MUR 5511/5525 (Swift Boat Vets, et al.) (Commission found it appropriate to investigate whether a group raised or spent \$1,000 for the purpose of influencing a federal election where the group's statement and activities were exclusively geared toward criticizing a presidential candidate and publicly available information showed the group raised \$20 million overall and spent \$18 million); MUR 5541 (The November Fund) (same, where group was heavily critical of a presidential candidate and OGC knew of a \$200,000 vendor payment for internet advertising); MUR 5487 (Progress for America Voter Fund) (same, where available information indicated that the group's purpose was to influence the 2004 election, and the group apparently raised and spent millions of dollars in furtherance of that objective, with solicitations expressly mentioning swing states).

OPSEC Political Committee Resp. at 1.

³¹ See OPSEC Political Committee 2012 Year-End Report; 2012 October Report.

³² 52 U.S.C. § 30101(17); 11 CFR § 100.113.

MUR 7084 (OPSEC Entities) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 9 of 9

- publicly represented itself as a political committee.³³ The organization's name, coupled with its
- 2 record of funding independent expenditures, provides a reasonable basis on which to infer that
- 3 the group may have adopted a major purpose of influencing federal elections. However, the
- 4 Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and dismisses this matter. The Political
- 5 Committee is defunct, and further action would likely not be an efficient use of the
- 6 Commission's resources. The group has not funded independent expenditures since 2012, it
- 7 terminated with the IRS in 2013, and it filed its last report with the Commission a
- 8 miscellaneous report responding to earlier RFAIs on July 1, 2013. Thus, its last activities
- 9 appear to have predated the complaints by three years. The Commission has previously decided
- 10 to take no further action on political committee allegations where the entity was essentially
- defunct, with minimal or no assets, and had been inactive for several years with little prospect of
- 12 resuming activity.³⁴ Accordingly, the Commission exercises its prosecutorial discretion and
- 13 dismisses this allegation.³⁵

١

The organization's stated purpose on its IRS Form 8871 is nonpartisan, and the Response makes a broad assertion that "at no time did the Committee qualify as a political committee under the Act." See Attach. 2 at 2, Special Operations OPSEC Political Committee IRS Form 8871 (2012) (describing the entity as a "[p]olitical committee to educate the public on national security issues."). However, "[a] declaration by the organization that they are not [organized] for an electioneering purpose is not dispositive" in analyzing that organization's major purpose. See Real Truth About Obama v. FEC, 2008 WL 4416282, at *14 (E.D. Va. Sept. 24, 2008).

See Factual & Legal Analysis at 2, MUR 6021 (The Ballot Project); see also MUR 5534 (Business Alaska). Additionally, though not dispositive, OPSEC Political Committee filed independent expenditure reports with the Commission and itemized approximately \$43,000 in receipts. See Miscellaneous Report (Dec. 19, 2012). It also filed regular IRS reports and disclosed \$64,990 in itemized donations on IRS forms filed over the course of 2012. Thus, while the organization may have been required to make all filings required of a political committee, it did make some public disclosures, which partly mitigates disclosure concerns.

³⁵ See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985).

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1		FACTUAL AND LEGAL A	NALYSIS
2	RESPONDENTS:	Stephen Baggs	MUR: 7084
<i>3</i>	RESPUNDENTS:	Systems Technology Forum, Ltd.	WIOK. 7064
5		Thomas Bates	
6		RK Chevrolet, Buick, Subaru, Inc.	
7		Darek Dabbs	
8		Sera-Brynn LLC	•
9		Eric Kimble	·
10	•	Kimble Companies/Penn-Ohio Coal	Co
11	•	Ronald Kramer	. 00.
12		Kramer Management Enterprises, In	IC.
13		Shawn Kuhle	
14		Turner Strategic Technologies	
15		Tactical Defense Solutions LLC	
16		William W. Lee, Jr.	
17		National Research Group, LLC	
18		Bob Miller	
19		Miller-Stephenson & Associates, P.	C.
20		David H. Mutzabaugh	
21		ThunderCat Technology, LLC	
22		Richard D. Roberts	
23		Norfolk Southern Corporation	
24		Eric Sisco	
25		Virginia International Gateway, Inc.	
26			
27	I. INTRODUC	CTION	
28	Th:		shaha Fadamal Flortina Commission ba
29	inis matter	was generated by a Complaint filed wil	th the Federal Election Commission by
30	Lisa Clarkson. The	Complaint alleges multiple federal cor	ntractors violated the Federal Election
31	Campaign Act of 19	71, as amended (the "Act") and Comn	nission regulations, by contributing to
32	Scott Taylor for Cor	ngress (the "Committee"). For the reas	sons set forth below, the Commission
33	finds no reason to b	elieve that the alleged federal contractor	ors violated the Act or regulations.

MUR 7084 (Alleged Federal Contractors) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 2 of 2

II. FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

- 2 Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
- 3 Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. The Complaint alleges multiple federal
- 4 contractors contributed to Taylor's congressional committee.²
- 5 Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee
- 6 may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors.³ This prohibition does not apply
- 7 to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors. Employees
- 8 of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds.⁵
- 9 The Committee alleges that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of
- 10 federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute. Nearly all of the named
- 11 contributors submitted responses affirming that they are not contractors, and that the funds used
- were personal and not directed by a contractor firm. One contributor did not respond, but the
- 13 Commission has no information indicating that he is a federal contractor. The Commission
- 14 therefore finds no reason to believe that the individuals named in the Complaint are federal
- 15 contractors, and no reason to believe that they violated 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1).

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

² Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

³ 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

⁴ 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

Id. Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. Id. § 115.5.

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

1	FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS
2 3 4 5 6 7	RESPONDENTS: Scott Taylor MURs: 7078 and 7084 Scott Taylor for Congress John G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer
8 9	I. INTRODUCTION
10	This matter was generated by Complaints filed with the Federal Election Commission by
11	Lisa Clarkson. The Complaints allege that Scott Taylor, and Scott Taylor for Congress and John
12	G. Selph in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee") violated many provisions of the
13	Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended (the "Act") and Commission regulations.
14	For the reasons set forth below, the Commission dismisses the allegations that Taylor and
15	the Committee used non-federal funds to host its campaign website, and that Respondents failed
16	to include disclaimers, timely file the Committee's Statement of Organization, report certain
. 17	expenditures, and properly attribute an LLC contribution. The Commission finds no reason to
18	believe that Respondents used non-federal funds to send a campaign email, or that they
19	knowingly solicited contributions from federal contractors.
20	II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND
21	Scott Taylor was a Member of the Virginia House of Delegates, and ran for Congress in
22	Virginia's Second District in 2010 and 2016. Taylor filed his 2016 Statement of Candidacy on
23	February 4, 2016, and designated the Committee as his principal campaign committee. John G.
24	Selph is the Committee's treasurer.
25	The Complaints allege the following violations of the Act and regulations:

Taylor lost the Republican Primary in 2010, and won election to Congress in 2016.

5

6 7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

•	Taylor announced his 2016 federal candidacy using state legislative resources and
	failed to include a required disclaimer. ²

- The Committee filed a Statement of Organization on February 4, 2016 later amended on February 23, 2016 — but began soliciting funds as early as January 16, 2016, and triggered candidate status no later than January 20, 2016.³
- The Committee failed to report expenditures for several public events promoted on Taylor's Facebook page.⁴
- The Committee received unreported in-kind contributions from Taylor's state legislative campaign. From January through February 2016, the Committee's website used the same URL as Taylor's state legislative campaign, and the Committee reported no reimbursements to the state committee.
- The Committee reported a \$1,000 contribution from an LLC with the note "attributed equally to owners," but did not disclose the owners of the LLC.⁶
- The Committee solicited and accepted contributions from federal contractors.⁷

III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Use of State Email and State Committee Website; Lack of Disclaimer

The Committee denies using state letterhead or an official email account.⁸

A federal candidate, or an entity directly or indirectly established, financed, maintained or controlled by or acting on behalf of a federal candidate, is prohibited from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring, or spending funds in connection with an election for federal

office that are not subject to the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.⁹

² Compl. at ¶¶ 1-2 (June 1, 2016).

Id. at ¶¶ 3-5.

⁴ Id. at ¶¶ 6-7.

⁵ *Id.* at ¶ 8.

⁶ *Id*. at¶9.

Supplemental Compl. at II (June 14, 2016).

⁸ Resp. at ¶ 1 (June 23, 2016).

⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30125(e)(1)(A); 11 C.F.R. § 300.61.

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 3 of 7

- 1 Virginia law permits a state committee to accept unlimited direct contributions from any
- 2 individual, corporation, union, association, or partnership. ¹⁰ Therefore it is possible that Taylor's
- 3 Virginia state committee account contains funds not subject to the Act's limits and prohibitions.
- 4 Commission regulations prohibit the transfer of funds or assets from a candidate's nonfederal
- 5 campaign committee to his or her federal committee. 11 Political committees must include
- 6 disclaimers on emails containing substantially similar messages sent to more than 500
- 7 recipients. 12
- 8 Although the Committee's announcement email contains a header identifying Taylor as a
- 9 State Delegate, it does not appear to be on official state letterhead, and the announcement was
- 10 sent from a private email account. The Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that
- Respondents used nonfederal funds to send the campaign announcement email.
- Respondents admit, however, that Taylor's state committee incurred expenses for hosting
- the federal Committee's website. 13 However, the value of those expenses appear to be de
- 14 minimis, and the Committee is no longer using the state committee's website. 14 Accordingly, the

See Virginia Department of Elections, Summary of Laws and Policies: Candidate Campaign Committees (Sept. 14, 2015) at 17; 52 U.S.C. §§ 30116(a), 30118(a).

¹¹ C.F.R. § 110.3(d); see also Transfers of Funds from State to Federal Campaigns, 57 Fed. Reg. 36,344 (Aug. 12, 1992) (Explanation and Justification).

¹² Id. § 110.11(a).

¹³ Resp. at ¶ 8.

A review of the website as of October 2016 reveals that the domain hosted by the state committee, http://scotttaylorforva.com/, is no longer available, and that the Committee website is now http://scotttaylor.us/. The new site includes a disclaimer stating that the website is paid for by the federal Committee.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 4 of 7

- 1 Commission dismisses the allegation that the Committee used nonfederal funds, and cautions the
- 2 Committee to take steps to ensure compliance with the Act and regulations. 15
- Respondents also admit that the announcement email lacked a disclaimer, but claim that
- 4 the omission was inadvertent and quickly corrected. 16 It is likely that Taylor's campaign
- 5 announcement was sent to more than 500 recipients. However, because the Response represents
- 6 that the mistake was inadvertent and promptly corrected, the Commission exercises its
- 7 prosecutorial discretion and dismisses the allegation. 17

B. Failure to Timely File and Amend Statement of Organization

Respondents argue the Committee timely mailed the Statement of Organization, and promptly amended it to include Taylor's name. ¹⁸ When an individual becomes a "candidate" the Act requires the candidate to file a Statement of Candidacy designating a candidate's principal campaign committee within 15 days, and requires the principal campaign committee to file a Statement of Organization no later than ten days after the candidate's designation. ²⁰

Although the Committee timely filed its Statement of Organization, it did not include

Taylor's name on the form. However, the Committee amended the statement within two days of

See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 831 (1985); MUR 6773 (Nestande, et al.) (dismissing use of nonfederal funds allegation because expenses were de minimis). A cautionary letter is appropriate because the Committee did not amend its reports, as it represented it would.

¹⁶ Resp. at ¶ 2.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; see also MUR 6841 (Reid, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to include the proper disclaimer with an email solicitation).

¹⁸ Resp. at ¶ 5.

¹⁹ 52 U.S.C. § 30101(2); 11 C.F.R. § 100.3.

²⁰ 52 U.S.C. § 30102(e)(1); 52 U.S.C. § 30103(a); 11 C.F.R. § 101.1(a); 11 C.F.R. § 102.1(a).

12

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress)
Factual and Legal Analysis
Page 5 of 7

- 1 receiving a Request for Additional Information from the Commission's Reports Analysis
- 2 Division. Accordingly, the Commission dismisses this allegation.²¹

C. Failure to Report Expenditures in Connection With Campaign Events

- 4 The Act and regulations require a committee to report its aggregate operating
- 5 expenditures.²² A committee must also report the full name and address of each vendor who
- 6 receives payment in excess of \$200 within an election cycle.²³ The Committee published several
- 7 invitations on Facebook to events at restaurants that promised food and drink, but its reports
- 8 disclose no corresponding expenditures. The Response states that these events were "informal
- 9 gatherings" that did not generate any expenses.²⁴ The record does not indicate what, if any,
- 10 expenses these events generated, and in any event, the amounts were likely small. Thus, the
- 11 Commission dismisses this allegation.²⁵

D. Incomplete Disclosure of an LLC Contribution

- 13 Contributions by an LLC that elects to be treated as a partnership by the Internal Revenue
- 14 Service are treated as partnership contributions. 26 Partnership contributions, in turn, must be
- attributed to both the partnership and to each partner, either in direct proportion to the partner's

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831.

²² 52 U.S.C. § 30104(b)(5); 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(2)(i).

²³ 11 C.F.R. § 104.3(b)(4)(i).

²⁴ Resp. at ¶ 7.

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6536 (Gonzalez for Congress, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee failed to report disbursements in part because of the small amount at issue).

²⁶ 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(g)(2). The Commission's regulations do not require that a contribution from an LLC that is taxed as a corporation be attributed to the LLC's member or members, and such contributions are treated as corporate contributions under the Act. See id. § 110.1(g)(3).

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 6 of 7

- share of the entity's profits or by agreement among the partners.²⁷ Additionally, an LLC that
- 2 makes a contribution must affirm to the recipient committee that it is eligible to make such a
- 3 contribution, and must indicate how the contribution is to be attributed.²⁸
- 4 Respondents failed to report the attribution of a \$1,000 donation from Beachfront LLC
- 5 received on March 3, 2016. The Committee states that after receiving the Complaint, it
- 6 contacted the LLC but could not verify whether the entity was eligible to make the contribution,
- 7 so the Committee refunded it on June 22, 2016.²⁹ Thus, the Committee may have failed to
- 8 properly itemize the partners' contributions, or may have impermissibly accepted a corporate
- 9 contribution.³⁰ However, because the amount in violation is relatively small \$1,000 out of the
- 10 Committee's total receipts of approximately \$830,000 for the 2016 election cycle and the
- 11 Committee refunded the contribution, the Commission dismisses this allegation.³¹

Id. § 110.1(e). If an individual partner's share of the contribution exceeds \$200 when combined with other contributions received from that partner in the same election cycle, the committee must disclose itemized information on the partner as a memo entry. Id.; 11 C.F.R § 104.8.

²⁸ Id. § 110.1(g).

²⁹ Resp. at ¶ 9.

See 11 C.F.R. § 110.1(e) (requiring attribution of partners); 11 C.F.R § 104.8 (requiring uniform reporting of receipts); 52 U.S.C. § 30118(a) (prohibiting contributions from corporations).

See Heckler, 470 U.S. 831; MUR 6808 (Smith, et al.) (dismissing allegation that committee received an impermissible \$1,000 corporate donation and noting the immediate return of the donation).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

MURs 7078 and 7084 (Scott Taylor for Congress) Factual and Legal Analysis Page 7 of 7

E. Contributions From Federal Contractors

Federal contractors may not make contributions to political committees, and a Committee may not knowingly solicit donations from federal contractors. This prohibition does not apply to individual employees of a federal contractor who are not themselves contractors. Employees of federal contractors may contribute to federal political committees using personal funds. Respondents state that the contributors identified by the Complaint are employees of federal contractors, not contractors themselves, and may contribute. Nearly all of the named contributors have affirmed that they are not contractors, and that the funds used were personal and not directed by a contractor firm. One contributor did not respond, but we have no information indicating that he is a federal contractor. The Commission therefore finds no reason to believe that the Committee accepted contributions from federal contractors.

³² 52 U.S.C. § 30119(a)(1)-(2); 11 C.F.R. § 115.2.

³³ 11 C.F.R. § 115.6.

Id. Additionally, if a sole proprietorship is a federal contractor, the owner of that entity may not donate to federal campaigns using business, personal or other funds. Id. § 115.5.

³⁵ Supplemental Resp. (July 15, 2015).